



Proceedings of the 9th Industrial Forum on Beryllium Opportunities & New Developments (BeYOND-IX)



Karlsruhe Beryllium Handling Facility (KBHF GmbH)
Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen, Germany

Proceedings of the 9th Industrial Forum on Beryllium Opportunities & New Developments (BeYOND-IX)

Publication Date: November 2022

Publishing Team:

Karlsruhe Beryllium Handling Facility (KBHF GmbH) Herrmann-von-Helmholtz-Platz 1 D-76344 Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen, Germany URL: KBHF FUSION FOR FUTURE (fusion-for-future.de)	
Be4FUSION LLC 443 North Euclid Avenue Upland, California 91786-4733 U.S.A. URL: https://www.be4fusion.com	 Be4FUSION Specialty Materials & Business Consulting
Lemmens Medien GmbH Matthias-Grünwald-Strasse 1-3 D-53175 Bonn, Germany URL: https://www.lemmens.de	 LEMMENS Bildung, Forschung, Technologie
FUSION FOR FUTURE Website Home & Support URL: https://www.fusion-for-future.de	

For editorial inquiries about this publication, please contact:

Chris DORN, Corresponding Editor
EMAIL: chris.dorn@be4fusion.com

©2022 Lemmens Medien GmbH, All Rights Reserved

Published in Germany
ISBN 978 3 86856 027 5
DOI 10.53174/BeYOND/IX/2022

ISBN 978 3 86856 027 5
DOI 10.53174/BeYOND/IX/2022

Proceedings of the 9th Industrial Forum on Beryllium Opportunities & New Developments (BeYOND-IX)

Christopher DORN¹ and Aniceto GORAIEB² (Eds)

¹Be4FUSION LLC, Upland, California, U.S.A.

²Karlsruhe Beryllium Handling Facility (KBHF GmbH), Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen, Germany

The 9th Industrial Forum on Beryllium Opportunities & New Developments (BeYOND-IX) was held on 16 September 2022 on the North Campus of the Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT) in Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen, Germany, in conjunction with the 15th International Workshop on Beryllium Technology (BeWS-15) and with the Symposium on Fusion Technology (SOFT-32 in Dubrovnik, Croatia). The workshop has been held periodically since 2009.

These Proceedings of BeYOND-IX have been compiled from the documents generated by the workshop organizers, combined with the abstracts and presentation files submitted by the participants in the event.

The BeYOND-IX was organized by the Karlsruhe Beryllium Handling Facility (KBHF GmbH) with technical support from KIT (Karlsruhe Institute of Technology) in Germany, the UK Atomic Energy Authority (UKAEA) in the United Kingdom, and Be4FUSION LLC in the U.S. Participants came mainly from Germany, U.S.A., UK, France, Japan, Kazakhstan, Czech Republic, Portugal, Sweden, and Latvia.

Keywords: Beryllium, Fusion Engineering, Irradiation Effects, Beryllide Intermetallic Compounds, Plasma-Facing Materials, Neutron-Multiplier Materials, Health and Safety, Chronic Beryllium Disease, Beryllium Sensitization, Fusion Start-Ups, Molten Salt, FLiBe, Fusion for Future, Mankind Project, Karlsruhe Beryllium Handling Facility, KBHF

Table of Contents

Introduction & Executive Summary.....	6
BeYOND-IX Final Technical Program.....	7
BeYOND International Advisory Committee	8
BeYOND-IX Sponsor Organizations.....	8
Session 1: Fusion Programs & Industry Status	9
Developing a Fusion Ecosystem	9
<i>V. Jamieson (UK Atomic Energy Authority, UK)</i>	
Energy for Humanity: A Presentation of Marvel Fusion	19
<i>H. Freund et al. (Marvel Fusion GmbH, Germany)</i>	
The KIT Fusion Program – Introduction and Overview	29
<i>D. Radloff (KIT, Germany)</i>	
Fusion-for-Future: Bridge to Private Invested Companies in Fusion Energy	46
<i>M. Lemmens (Lemmens Medien & KBHF, Germany) et al.</i>	
Session 2: Beryllium Health & Safety in Fusion Research	52
Beryllium Regulatory Review and Communications Update	52
<i>T. Knudson (Materion Brush Inc., U.S.A.)</i>	
Beryllium End-Use Applications & Material Forms and Their Effects on Protection Programs for Workers & Consumers	62
<i>C.K. Dorn (Be4FUSION, U.S.A.) et al.</i>	
HLA-DPB1 E69 Genotype and Exposure in Beryllium Sensitization and Disease.....	71
<i>M. Mroz (National Jewish Health, U.S.A.) et al.</i>	
Occupational Safety in the Environment Containing Beryllium Dust at HELCZA Facility	82
<i>L. Toupal et al. (CVR, Czech Republic)</i>	
Standardized Methods for Molecular Fluorescence Determination of Trace Beryllium.....	92
<i>K. Ashley (Ashley Analytical Associates, U.S.A.) et al.</i>	
Session 3: Beryllium in Molten Salts and More Health & Safety	110
New Beryllium-Contamination Testing Method	110
<i>A. Goraieb (KBHF, Germany) et al.</i>	
Commercial Challenges and Opportunities Associated with FLiBe for Fusion Energy	118
<i>R. Pearson (Kyoto Fusioneering, UK & Japan) et al.</i>	
The Possible Toxicity of Beryllium Salts	135
<i>M. McCawley (West Virginia University, U.S.A.) et al.</i>	

Why Standardise Training in the Beryllium Industry	147
<i>B. Walker (Safer Environment Ltd., UK)</i>	
Beryllium Sensitization Testing—Where We Are and Where We Need to Go.....	152
<i>K. Creek (Beryllium Solutions International, Portugal)</i>	
Poster Session: Principles of Facility Design	166
Beryllium Facility Design: A Step Above Standard Industrial Controls	
<i>K. Creek (Beryllium Solutions International, Portugal)</i>	
Appendices	172
History of the BeYOND Workshop Series	
BeYOND-IX Participants List	
Workshop & Venue Photos.....	

Introduction & Executive Summary

The 9th Industrial Forum on Beryllium Opportunities & New Developments (BeYOND-IX) was held on 16 September 2022 on the North Campus of the Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT) in Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen, Germany, in conjunction with the 15th International Workshop on Beryllium Technology (BeWS-15) and with the Symposium on Fusion Technology (SOFT-32 in Dubrovnik, Croatia). The workshop was held annually from 2009-2014, and generally once every two years since 2016.

The objective of the workshop for scientists and engineers around the world is to share the results of their efforts to develop technology in the application and safe use of beryllium in fusion energy research, with emphasis on making connections between established research institutes and commercial industry. This idea now takes on a higher level of importance with the founding of many fusion start-up companies in the past few years.

The BeYOND-IX workshop was organized by the Karlsruhe Beryllium Handling Facility (KBHF GmbH) with technical support from KIT (Karlsruhe Institute of Technology) in Germany, the UK Atomic Energy Authority (UKAEA) in the United Kingdom, and Be4FUSION LLC in the U.S. Participants came mainly from Germany, U.S.A., UK, France, Japan, Kazakhstan, Czech Republic, Portugal, Sweden, and Latvia. There were some new, first-time aspects to this year's event:

- Held in conjunction with the BeWS-15, as part of a 3-day joint event
- Featured participation from fusion start-up companies
- Run in a hybrid format with both in-person and online attendees and presenters
- Included a tour of the Karlsruhe Beryllium Handling Facility (KBHF)

The program was comprised of 14 presentations, ranging from the goals of two fusion start-up companies, progressing to those focused on beryllium health and safety, and followed by a session on beryllium use in molten salts, a dormant area of energy research that is now seeing a resurgence in interest for both fission and fusion applications.

To foster networking and collaboration, the UK Atomic Energy Authority (UKAEA) presented about their Fusion Cluster initiative, an opportunity for interested parties to seek possible synergies across the global community of fusion companies and research institutes. On top of that, KBHF introduced its own "Fusion for Future" concept, which also includes the Mankind Project, a non-profit organization that is now positioned to play a role in expanding fusion cooperation in an even broader social sense.

The Dr. Glen Longhurst Memorial Award (GLMA) was established at BeYOND-IX to recognize outstanding contributions in fusion-related beryllium research. This year, Chris Dorn of Be4FUSION and UKAEA was the chosen recipient, based on his work in beryllium and fusion over the past 30 years.

The final BeYOND-IX program is included near the beginning of these Proceedings to introduce the abstracts and presentations that follow. A list of the registered participants may be found in the Appendices of this document.

Aniceto GORAIEB
BeYOND-IX Chair
Karlsruhe, October 2022

BeYOND-IX Final Technical Program

The technical program was organized by the BeYOND-IX Chair, Aniceto Goraieb of KBHF in Germany, with help from the BeWS-15 Chair, Dr. Pavel Vladimirov of KIT in Germany, Kathryn Creek of Beryllium Solutions International in Portugal, and Chris Dorn of UKAEA and Be4FUSION. This is the version of the program that was distributed to the participants at the workshop.

BeYOND-IX: 16.09.2022

Start	End	Time	Item	Presenter	Session	Chair
9:00 AM	10:00 AM	1:00	KBHF Lab-Tour	KBHF/GVT		
10:00 AM	10:20 AM	0:20	Shuttle-Service from Lab to Event-Location			
10:30 AM	10:55 AM	0:25	The Fusion Cluster at UKAEA	Valeri Jamieson	Session 1	Markus Lemmens
10:55 AM	11:20 AM	0:25	Presentation from MARVEL-Fusion	Marvel-FUSION		
11:20 AM	11:45 AM	0:25	Program FUSION @ KIT	Dirk Radloff		
11:45 AM	12:10 PM	0:25	Fusion-for-Future-Potential-Pathways-to-Fusion-Energy	M. Lemmens/A. Goraieb		
12:10 PM	1:25 PM	1:15	Lunch Break & Exhibition			
1:25 PM	1:45 PM	0:20	Beryllium Regulatory Review and Communications Update	Theodore Knudson	Session 2	Christopher Dom
1:45 PM	2:05 PM	0:20	Beryllium End-Use Applications & Material Forms & Their Effects on Protection Programs for Workers & Consumers	Chris Dorn		
2:05 PM	2:25 PM	0:20	HLA-DPB1 E69 Genotype and Exposure in Beryllium Sensitization and Disease	Lisa Barker/M. Mroz		
2:25 PM	2:45 PM	0:20	Occupational safety in the environment containing beryllium dust at HELCZA	Lukáš Toupal		
2:45 PM	3:05 PM	0:20	Standardized methods for molecular fluorescence determination of beryllium	Kevin Ashley		
3:05 PM	3:30 PM	0:25	Break & Exhibition			
3:30 PM	3:50 PM	0:20	New Be-contamination testing method	GVT & R. Gaisin	Session 3	Kathryn Creek
3:50 PM	4:10 PM	0:20	Commercial Challenges and Opportunities Associated with FLiBe for Fusion	Richard Pearson		
4:10 PM	4:30 PM	0:20	The Possible Toxicity of Beryllium Salts	Michael McCawley (online)		
4:30 PM	4:50 PM	0:20	The argument for standardized training in the Beryllium industry	Beth Walker (online)		
4:50 PM	5:10 PM	0:20	Beryllium sensitization testing - what we know and where we need to go	Kathryn Creek		
5:10 PM			ADJOURN			
Total		8:00				
			Beryllium Facility Design	Kathryn Creek	Poster	

BeYOND International Advisory Committee

Region/Country	Name	Organization
Europe/Germany (Founder & Chair)	Aniceto GORAIEB	Karlsruhe Beryllium Handling Facility (KBHF)
Europe/Germany	Dirk RADLOFF	Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT)
North America/U.S.A.	Keith SMITH	Materion Brush Inc.
Asia/Japan	Keigo NOJIRI	NGK Insulators Ltd.

BeYOND-IX Sponsor Organizations

The organizers of BeYOND-IX would like to thank the following sponsors for their support of the workshop:



Materion Brush Inc. Mayfield Heights & Elmore, Ohio, U.S.A.	KIT - Karlsruhe Institute of Technology Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen, Germany
Beryllium Solutions International LLC Lourinha, Portugal	UK Atomic Energy Authority Abingdon, Oxfordshire, United Kingdom
KBHF - Karlsruhe Beryllium Handling Facility Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen, Germany	Be4FUSION LLC Upland, California, U.S.A.

Session 1: Fusion Programs & Industry Status

Developing a Fusion Ecosystem

V. Jamieson (UK Atomic Energy Authority, UK)

Developing a Fusion Ecosystem

Valerie Jamieson

United Kingdom Atomic Energy Authority (UKAEA), Abingdon, UK

With world-leading fusion energy companies and public fusion programme, the UK is recognised globally as a go-to place for fusion. In this talk, Valerie Jamieson describes the fusion landscape in the UK and why a technology cluster focused on fusion will play an important role in establishing a start-up ecosystem.

Corresponding Author:

Dr. Valerie Jamieson
Development Manager, The Fusion Cluster
valerie.jamieson@ukaea.uk
UK Atomic Energy Authority
Culham Centre for Fusion Energy
Culham Science Centre
Abingdon, Oxfordshire OX14 3DB
UNITED KINGDOM



Developing a Fusion Ecosystem

Valerie Jamieson,
Development Manager, The Fusion Cluster

valerie.jamieson@ukaea.uk

BEYOND-IX/ 16 SEPTEMBER 2022



BEYOND-IX/ 16 SEPTEMBER 2022

PAGE 2

Contents

00

Fusion landscape in the UK/
The benefits of technology
clusters Introducing The
Fusion Cluster



BEYOND-IX/ 16 SEPTEMBER 2022

PAGE 3

UK fusion

01

From research era to delivery era




BEYOND-IX/ 16 SEPTEMBER 2022

PAGE 4

The fusion landscape in the UK

- * World-leading fusion energy companies and public fusion programme.
- * Recognised globally as a go-to place for fusion.



Joint European Torus broke fusion energy record in 2022



MAST-U tokamak divertor technology breakthrough 2021



First Light Fusion validate their innovative projectile approach in 2022



General Fusion building fusion demonstration plant



Tokamak Energy achieve 100 million degree plasma in 2022





BEYOND-IX/ 16 SEPTEMBER 2022

PAGE 5

The fusion landscape in the UK

- * Regulatory framework for fusion that will accelerate innovation.
- * Government has published a fusion strategy.
- * Includes a programme to build a prototype fusion power plant, STEP.
- * Includes UK fusion technology cluster.



BEYOND-IX/ 16 SEPTEMBER 2022

6

STEP



Spherical tokamak connected to the National Grid and producing net energy from fusion. Not a commercially operating plant but will answer key questions about maintenance, operation and potential to produce its own fuel.



Phase 1 - concept design by 2024
Site shortlist and site selection 2022
Phase 2 – detailed engineering design
Phase 3 – construction with completion around 2040



BEYOND-IX/ 16 SEPTEMBER 2022

PAGE 7

Benefits

01

Why technology clusters matter



BEYOND-IX/ 16 SEPTEMBER 2022

PAGE 8

“ Research clusters attract inward investment from businesses looking to access knowledge and talent, bringing a positive impact to the wider local economy in terms of jobs and infrastructure investment

UK GOVERNMENT WHITE PAPER “INDUSTRIAL STRATEGY: BUILDING A BRITAIN FIT FOR THE FUTURE”
2017



BEYOND-IX/ 16 SEPTEMBER 2022

PAGE 9

US technology clusters



10 technology clusters account for
70% of inventors in computer
science



Productivity increases by 12% when
inventors move from small technology
clusters to large ones



Drop of 13% in computer science
patents if clusters were disbanded

Enrico Moretti, "The Effect of High-Tech Clusters on the Productivity of Top Inventors" NBER Working Paper [26270](#)



BEYOND-IX/ 16 SEPTEMBER 2022

PAGE 10

Harwell Space Cluster

Gateway to the UK space sector

105 space organisations

1,100 people

16% annual growth in employees





BEYOND-IX/ 16 SEPTEMBER 2022

PAGE 11

Mission

02


The Fusion Cluster brings the right organisations and people together to get to fusion faster.



BEYOND-IX/ 16 SEPTEMBER 2022

Established November 2021,
supported by UKAEA

- * Fusion energy is too complex for any one organisation to achieve alone.
- * The Fusion Cluster brings together businesses, academia, investors and government to create the conditions for success. It combines all the elements needed to help achieve fusion faster.
- * We won't achieve fusion energy immediately – there are still challenges to overcome – but for the first time practical fusion is within our reach. There will be benefits along the way, from new advances to spin-off technologies. The cluster will help realise them.






BEYOND-IX/ 16 SEPTEMBER 2022

PAGE 13

What the cluster offers



Access to talent



Access to national
facilities



Knowledge sharing



Showcasing fusion



Support for start-ups



BEYOND-IX/ 16 SEPTEMBER 2022

PAGE 14

National facilities

- * Materials Research Facility – prepares and examines radioactive samples to assess their performance in nuclear environments.

- * RACE – remote applications in challenging environments.

- * H3AT – infrastructure to feed, recover, store and recycle tritium.

- * CHIMERA – test component prototypes in high temperatures and magnetic fields.

- * Central Laser Facility at Rutherford Appleton Laboratory.

- * National quantum computing centre at Harwell.

- * Hartree centre – high performance computing.





BEYOND-IX/ 16 SEPTEMBER 2022

PAGE 15

Our values. Collaboration. Clarity. Community.

* We'll only achieve fusion together. We collaborate on areas where it makes sense. When one of us wins, we all win.

* Anyone can join without contracts, MOUs or NDAs. Whether big or small, new or established the cluster is for everyone working in fusion.

* We aim to cut through the complexity to enable collaboration and encourage more people to get involved.



BEYOND-IX/ 16 SEPTEMBER 2022

PAGE 16

In good company. And growing ...

Alemnis + Alten + AMEG + Assystem + Atkins + AVI + Bay Fusion + Be4Fusion + Birch Fontaine + Booth Industries International + CE Turner + Centronic Limited + Commonwealth Fusion Systems + Createc + Dassault Systemes UK + Doosan Babcock + Ecclesian Consulting + EGB Engineering + Element Six + Energy Systems Catapult + Environment Agency + First Light Fusion + Frazer Nash Consultancy + FTI + Fujitsu + Fusion Energy Insights + General Fusion + Graham Engineering + GSF UK + Harwell Campus + i4cnc + IBM + ICD Applied Technologies + Intelligent Business Links + Jacobs + James Walker UK Ltd + JCS Nuclear Solutions + Kognitiv Spark + Konecranes Demag + Kyoto Fusioneering + Laser 2000 UK + Laser Additive Solutions Ltd + Leybold + M5tec + MACE + Magdrive + Manufacturing Technology Centre + Marvel Fusion + Mirion Technologies + Mott MacDonald Ltd + National Physical Laboratory + Nelson Tool Co + Novintec + Nuclear AMRC + Nuclear Industry Association + Nuvia + Oxford Innovation Ltd + Oxford Science Enterprises + Oxford Sigma + OxLEP + Porvair Filtration Group + Prorsus + Renaissance Fusion + Sika + Steel Dynamics + STFC + Studsvik AB + Swiftool Precision Engineering + Tokamak Energy + Turner & Townsend + TUV Nord + TWI + UKAEA + UKISS + University of Oxford + Woodruff Scientific



Energy for Humanity: A Presentation of Marvel Fusion

H. Freund et al. (Marvel Fusion GmbH, Germany)

Energy for Humanity: A Presentation of Marvel Fusion

Heike Freund and Dan Nebe

Marvel Fusion GmbH, Munich, Germany

Marvel Fusion was founded in 2019 by Moritz von der Linden, Dr. Karl-Georg Schlesinger, Dr. Georg Korn, and Dr. Pasha Shabalin. Chief Operating Officer Heike Freund joined in 2020. The company, pre-seeded by BlueYard Capital, pursues a new and fast-track route to the commercial application of fusion energy.



The company has gathered a unique team behind their mission to solve one of the biggest challenges of mankind: the creation of unlimited zero-emission energy. Scientists from the Ludwig-Maximilians-University in Munich, the University of Stanford, and the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT) have recently joined forces with Marvel Fusion to bring its fusion concept to fruition.

To further advance its technology development, Marvel Fusion raised EUR 35 million in its Series A, led by Earlybird with significant contributions from ATHOS Venture GmbH, PRIMEPULSE, Tobi Lütke and Fiona McKean's Thistledown Capital, Taavet Hinrikus & Sten Tamviki (OÜ Notorius), Nicolas Berggruen Charitable Trust and Heinz Dürr Invest GmbH among others. Existing investors Hartmut Neven, Albert Wenger, and Chris Hitchen's Possible Ventures also participated in the round. To date, the company has raised EUR 60 million in total funds.

Source: <https://marvelfusion.com/about-us/> accessed on 21-Oct-2022

Corresponding Author:

Ms. Heike Freund
heike.freund@marvelfusion.com
Marvel Fusion GmbH
Blumenstrasse 28
D-80331 München (Munich)
GERMANY



Why Marvel Fusion?

TRANSFORMING ENERGY FOR HUMANITY FOR DECADES TO COME

- Novel **approach to reach fusion**, possible due to **substantial technological progress**
- **Commercially viable concept** for a fusion power plant
- Theoretical model predicting **significant energy yield**
- Experiments providing first **empirical validation of core physics**
- **Energy gain in the next years**, significant Q-values in the long-term
- **Commercial** pilot power plant **in 2030s**



WE NEED TO ACT NOW

- Electricity demand grows by 48% in the next 30 yrs
- Limiting temperature rise and net zero **not possible** with current technologies
- Energy-intensive industries **will not stay** in countries with instable & high prices




3

Fusion Companies Around the World




The U.S. Is Clear Leader In Fusion Energy Investments

Investments in private fusion companies [in € million]



By geography



MarvelFusion Source: Crunchbase


5

FAST-TRACK TOWARDS LASER-BASED COMMERCIAL FUSION ENERGY


Key facts

Founded: July 2019
HQ: Munich (DE)
Management: Moritz Linden (CEO)
 Heike Freund (COO)
 Georg Korn (CTO)
FTE: 50
Funding: EUR 60m (EUR +2.5m public)
Partnerships: Siemens Energy, Thales, Trumpf

Shareholders



Timeline



Click to add text

Confidential | 6

Our Partners

WORKING WITH TIER-1 COMPANIES AND INSTITUTIONS

Scientific validation of approach	 Center for Advanced Laser Applications	 Extreme Light Infrastructure	 Texas PetaWatt Laser	 Colorado Advanced Beam Laboratory	 Universities
	Experimental support - Home turf laser facility with extensive access and own target - Holistic validation of approach possible	Experimental support - Home to the world's most-powerful laser system - Ability to conduct multi-beam experiments	Experimental support High-intensity laser system specifically suitable for second harmonic generation	Experimental support Enables to validate aspects that require the laser to have high-contrast	Theoretical support
					


Continuous coordination

Power plant development	 TRUMPF	 Thales	 Siemens Energy	... and more to come
	Laser development - Experts in high-power, diode-pumped industrial laser systems - Potential industrialization partner for laser components (such as diode-pumped amplifiers)	Laser development - Experts in high-power laser systems for generating ultra-short pulses (femtosecond) - Potential industrialization partner for laser components (such as compressors)	Energy conversion - Experts in power plant systems - Support in developing energy conversion technology, power plant conceiving and market modelling	
				

 MarvelFusion

7

Our Team

COMBINING OPERATIONAL AND SCIENTIFIC EXCELLENCE



Moritz von der Linden
CEO
Co-Founder



Dr. Georg Korn
CTO
Co-Founder



Heike Freund
COO



Prof. Gérard Mourou
Chairman of Science
& Technology Board



Dr. Erhard Gaul
SVP Laser Systems



Dr. Sven Steinke
SVP Experimental Physics





Dan Nebe
VP Operations



Prof. Siegfried Glenzer
Board member

 MarvelFusion


8



Our Positioning


LASER-BASED INERTIAL CONFINEMENT APPROACHES AT A GLANCE

Indirect Drive




- ✗ Compression required
- ✗ Only Deuterium-Tritium as fuel
- ✗ Inefficient (absorption, instabilities)

Direct Drive




- ✗ Compression required
- ✗ Only Deuterium-Tritium as fuel
- ✗ Inefficient (absorption, instabilities)

Proton Fast Ignition



- ✗ Compression required
- ✗ Only Deuterium-Tritium as fuel
- ✗ Inefficient (conversion, instabilities)

Non-Thermal Beam Fusion



- ✓ No compression required
- ✓ $p^{11}B$ as fuel possible
- ✓ Efficient ignition w/o instabilities


Higher required energies for $p^{11}B$ possible due to efficient tailored particle acceleration, replacing traditional compression and temperature requirements

Confidential | 11

Laser-driven $p^{11}B$ approach


SCHEMATIC OVERVIEW OF LASER-DRIVEN $p^{11}B$ APPROACH

1 Ultrashort laser pulse with high intensity ...




Laser pulses with a duration of a few femtoseconds and ultra-high intensity

2 ... hits nanostructured $p^{11}B$ fuel target ...




With high absorption rates at the target, lasers expel electrons of the solid and lead to Coulomb explosions

3 ... triggering fusion reactions ...



Coulomb explosions accelerate particles to the required kinetic energies and trigger fusion reactions

4 ... gaining energy to generate electricity




Positively charged products directly harnessed for electricity generation, converting their kinetic energy



Ultra-short pulse lasers w/ high intensity & nanostructured targets are key to facilitate the non-thermal fusion concept

How will we get there?

LASER ABSORPTION, PROPAGATION & COULOMB EXPLOSIONS KEY TO REACH ENERGY GAIN, VALIDATION ALREADY STARTED

	Laser Absorption	Laser Propagation	Coulomb Explosions
Physics Process	>90% efficient laser absorption of high intensity laser pulse	Propagation of laser pulses through nanostructures without self-focusing	Ionized nanostructures “explode” due to strong electric fields
Parameters to Validate	Laser-target interaction with controlled laser pulse shape	Target with near-critical density, high aspect ratio and high focused laser intensity for full ionization throughout target volume	Structure size, distance and material composition tune ion energy spectral distribution to reach fusion cross section peak
Process Insights			
	 Access to existing laser facilities secured and validation ongoing (CALA, CSU and ELI-NP)		




Building blocks paper by Ruhl and Korn: “A laser-driven mixed fuel nuclear fusion reactor concept”, Reactor concept paper by Ruhl and Korn to be published soon

13

Why now?

REQUIRED TECHNOLOGIES FOR OUR FUSION CONCEPT ARE WITHIN REACH


- Technological concepts to produce required laser systems exist and are also pursued by other fusion endeavors (e.g., NIF)
- Existing technology to produce materials for batteries & photovoltaic cells can be used for producing nanostructured targets
- Significantly decreasing prices for laser diodes (\$/W) are supporting economics of laser-based approaches



14

Plant Design


MODULAR, SAFE AND SCALABLE POWER PLANT



15

Roadmap over the next years

ENERGY GAIN FACILITATED THROUGH SCALING OF TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATOR & HIGHLY PARALLELIZED VALIDATION PROCESS



MarvelFusion

16



DISCLAIMER

This confidential presentation is intended as an initial guide only and does not purport to contain all information the recipient may require in an investigation of Marvel Fusion GmbH ("Company") or an investment in, or any other transaction relating to, the Company. The information contained in this presentation is provided as at the date of this document. Neither the Company nor any of its affiliates, officers, directors, members of management, employees, advisors or representatives make, and expressly disclaim, any representation or warranty (expressed or implied) as to the accuracy and/or completeness of the information contained in this presentation. Any liability is hereby expressly disclaimed to the fullest extent legally possible. In particular, no representations or warranties are made as to statements, approximations, estimates and projections in respect of the anticipated future performance of the Company and/or the industries within which it operates. Neither the Company nor any of its affiliates, officers, directors, members of management, employees, advisors or representatives undertake any obligation to provide additional information or to correct or update any of the information set forth in this presentation.

This presentation, all discussions regarding the Company and any potential related investments and/or transactions are strictly confidential. This presentation shall remain the property of the Company and must not be copied, reproduced, distributed or otherwise disclosed, in whole or in part, to any other person at any time without the prior written consent of the Company. Recipients of this presentation may not disclose to any third party (unless required by law) the fact that they are involved in any discussions relating to the Company or an investment in, or any other transaction relating to, the Company.

This presentation shall not constitute an offer or solicitation of an offer regarding an investment in, or any other transaction relating to, the Company. It is understood that no person has agreed to, nor is any person, by virtue of providing or accepting this presentation, undertaking any obligation to enter into any transaction. This presentation shall not be the basis for any contract by, or relating to, the Company, including implied contract or any other legal theory of liability.

CONTACT

Marvel Fusion GmbH
Blumenstraße 28
80331 München
moritz@marvelfusion.com

The KIT Fusion Program – Introduction and Overview
D. Radloff (KIT, Germany)

The KIT Fusion Program – Introduction and Overview

Dirk Radloff

Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen, Germany

Short overview on the KIT Fusion Program including more detailed explanations of the following subtopics:

- Fuel Cycle
- Breeding Blanket Development
- Structural Materials for in-Vessel Components
- Fusion Magnets & Magnet Components Microwave Heating
- Manufacturing & Joining Technologies
- Early neutron source – IFMIF
- Divertor Technology
- Fusion Safety
- Neutronics and FPP Integration & Efficiency


Corresponding Author:

Dr. Dirk Radloff
dirk.radloff@kit.edu
Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT)
Fusion Program
Hermann-von-Helmholtz-Platz 1
D-76344 Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen
GERMANY



The KIT Fusion Program – Introduction and Overview

BeYOND IX, 16. September 2022



KIT – The Research University in the Helmholtz Association

www.kit.edu

The KIT Fusion Program - Overview




- **Objective:**
Development of key technologies & materials for fusion energy
- **Focus on three lines of fusion experiments:**
 - Design, engineering, realisation and testing of components and systems for ITER
 - Key developments towards DEMO and Fusion Power Plant
(inter alia: Broader Approach, Fusion Neutron Source)
 - Contributions to Wendelstein 7-X
- **Figures (estimate 2022):**
 - ~ 190 scientists, engineers and support staff
 - From 8 KIT institutes
 - ~ 22 M€ annual budget [expenditure incl. manpower]


The KIT Fusion Program – Estimated Funding 2022



~ 22 M € announced annual budget / expenditure



Total Staff: ~ 190



3


BeYOND IX, 16. September 2022

Dirk Radloff

KIT in the German Helmholtz Fusion Program




Helmholtz Fusion Program



IPP has formally left in 2021 Helmholtz – same budget, but attributed to MPG now; participating in Helmholtz as „observer“

Topic 3 - Fusion Technologies & Materials



KIT: 3/4 of ~ 160 Helmholtz Topic staff

Topic 1 and 2: IPP with Tokamak and Stellarator Physics
Topic 4: FZJ with Plasma-wall Interaction

4



BeYOND IX, 16. September 2022

Dirk Radloff

Moving ahead from Plasma Physics to Fusion Energy



Fusion = Plasma + Neutrons




- Technologies for generating, controlling and maintaining the plasma – performance & reliability
- Technologies and materials to cope with tritium and with the (14 MeV) neutrons; nuclear licensing
- **Overall complexity – system integration**

5

BeYOND IX, 16. September 2022

Dirk Radloff

The KIT Fusion Program - Topics




6

BeYOND IX, 16. September 2022

Dirk Radloff

The KIT Fusion Program - Topics




7

BeYOND IX, 16. September 2022

Dirk Radloff

The KIT Fusion Program - Topics



8

BeYOND IX, 16. September 2022

Dirk Radloff

9


Fusion Magnets and Cryomaterials



Fusion Magnets & Cryomaterials



ITER samples: PF coil winding pack mock-up, cable-in-conduit conductors



■ H-T_c superconductor concept and cable development

■ Cryo-materials testing & development



HTS-CuCo stack in cable

9

BeYOND IX, 16. September 2022

Dirk Radloff

Fusion Magnets and Cryomaterials – CryoMaK Lab



CryoMaK is the **Cryogenic Material** test lab **Karlsruhe**
- ITER Reference Lab -

- **Mechanical** (tensile, torsion, bending, fatigue)
- **Thermal** (conductivity, heat capacity, expansion)
- **Electro-Mechanical** (superconductivity)
- **Structural analysis** of high strength materials
- **Standardization** of test methods (DIN, IEC)
- **Research** on material processing



ITER Fatigue test at 4.2 K of TF coil He inlet



10

BeYOND IX, 16. September 2022

Dirk Radloff

Plasma Heating and Current Drive Systems



Electron Cyclotron Resonance Heating

- ECRH is EUROfusion primary choice for DEMO

Plasma Heating
& Current Drive
Systems

Plasma
Physics

- KIT is active in:
 - Gyrotron development
 - Launcher & Port Cell development
 - Diamond microwave windows



KIT gyrotron family: W7-X series tube, ITER industrial prototype, 2MW coaxial prototype tube

11

BeYOND IX, 16. September 2022

Dirk Radloff

12

Gyrotron R&D at KIT

- Leading role w.r.t. output power enhancement, multi-frequency / multi-purpose operation and multi-stage depressed collector design
- Close collaboration with European partners
- Industrial partner THALES (gyrotrons for Wendelstein 7-X, TCV, ITER, i-DTT, ...)



12

BeYOND IX, 16. September 2022

Dirk Radloff

13 **F**usion **L**ong-Pulse **G**yrotron **L**ab**O**ratory (FULGOR) - Experimental verification of multi-MW gyrotrons



- **Enhanced operating parameters**
 - Voltage: up to 130 kV (90 kV (anode) + 40 kV (body))
 - Current: up to 120 A
- **Operation at long pulses**
 - 2 MW at continuous wave (CW)
 - 4 MW up to 1 h at 50 % duty cycle
- + **Operation of Multi-stage Depressed Collector (MDC)**
- + **Verification according to latest industrial standard**
- June 2022: Start of operation



13

BeYOND IX, 16. September 2022


Dirk Radloff

Deuterium Tritium Fuel Cycle



Test facility for the ITER Isotope Separation System at the Tritium Laboratory Karlsruhe

- Tritium processing
- Limiting the tritium inventory



**Deuterium Tritium
Fuel Cycle**

14

BeYOND IX, 16. September 2022



Dirk Radloff

15

Deuterium Tritium Fuel Cycle



- DEMO needs tritium self-sufficiency
- Tritium throughput by orders of magnitude higher than for ITER
- Tritium inventory is potential showstopper



Deuterium Tritium Fuel Cycle

15

BeYOND IX, 16. September 2022


Dirk Radloff

16

Deuterium Tritium Fuel Cycle



- New three-loop architecture (the Direct Internal Recycling, DIR)
- Demonstration at relevant scale:
The DIPAK facility




16

BeYOND IX, 16. September 2022

Dirk Radloff

17

Breeding Blanket



17

BeYOND IX, 16. September 2022




Dirk Radloff

Breeding Blanket



■ Nuclear core of fusion reactor:

- producing reactor's own fuel **T**
- converting fusion energy into high grade heat for electricity production
- contributing to the n-shielding of coils and vacuum vessel



10

BeYOND IX, 16. September 2022

Dirk Radloff

Breeding Blanket

■ Nuclear core of fusion reactor:



- producing reactor's own fuel **T**
- converting fusion energy into high grade heat for electricity production
- contributing to the n-shielding of coils and vacuum vessel

■ Concepts

- Helium Cooled Pebble Bed (HCPB)
- Water Cooled Lithium Lead (WCLL)

■ Stages

- ITER Test Blanket Modules (TBMs)
- DEMO full scale blanket



HELOKA – Helium Loop Karlsruhe

Work Programme and Goals:


- Thermal-hydraulic investigation of BB subassemblies (First Wall, Breeder Zone)
- High heat flux qualification of blanket relevant materials (ODS) and manufacturing technologies



Technical Parameters:


- 4 to 10 MPa
- Up to 500°C (HELOKA-HP); up to 650°C (KATHELO)
- Surface heat loads: 800 kW e-Beam Gun (HELOKA-HHF)

Blanket First Wall LOFA Accident Simulation



KALOS - Karlsruhe Lithium Ortho-Silicate

Melt-based process for the fabrication of Advanced Ceramic Breeder (ACB) pebbles composed of Li_4SiO_4 and Li_2TiO_3



Facility upgrade under construction:

- Transfer to continuous process
- Production rate of 5-10 kg/day
 - further enhance process stability and product quality
 - secure supply of breeder pebbles for the 1st ITER TBM
 - increase readiness level of Advanced Ceramic Breeder production for DEMO
 - demonstrate the transferability to an industrial scale production

Commissioning 2022



21

BeYOND IX, 16. September 2022

Dirk Radloff

MEKKA - Magneto-Hydrodynamics for Liquid Metal Blankets





Work Programme and Goals:

- Tests of scaled mockups of WCLL ITER-TBMs
- MHD code development for WCLL numerical simulation in DEMO relevant conditions and application for complex structures
- WCLL typical manifold experiment / code validation

Experiments with model fluid NaK:

- High electric conductivity
- Fusion-relevant parameters at moderate magnetic fields and room temperature



22

BeYOND IX, 16. September 2022

Dirk Radloff

MaPLE - Magneto-Hydrodynamic PbLi Experiment




Transferred to KIT from UCLA in 2021; re-assembly ongoing; Commissioning until end of 2022

Work Programme and Goals:

- Determination of heat transfer coefficients in blanket-typical geometries
- Characterization of heat transfer in MHD flows with different orientation of test sections with respect to gravity and different orientations of the magnetic field (horizontal, inclined and vertical gaps)

Characteristics:

- Real fusion breeder material PbLi
- 1.8 T magnet rotating in space
- 300 – 550°C
- Pressure head up to 5 bar



23

BeYOND IX, 16. September 2022

Dirk Radihoff

24

Divertor Technology




- New engineering solutions for power exhaust – water and helium cooled approaches
- based upon emerging structural materials; integration with armor

Plasma Heating
HELOKA – Drive
High Temperature Branch **KATHELO**

Parameters:

- 4 to 10 MPa
- up to 650°C
- up to 800 kW heat load



24

BeYOND IX, 16. September 2022

Dirk Radihoff


Divertor Technology



- Engineering solutions on the basis of material innovations



https://www.kit.edu/kiti/pi_2021_031_elektronenstrahlschmelzen-bringt-sprodes-metall-in-form.php
<https://www.euro-fusion.org/de/news/detail/kat-prints-tungsten-components-by-electron-beam-melting/>



25

BeYOND IX, 16. September 2022

Dirk Radloff

26

Electron Beam Melting of Tungsten (W-EBM)




- Infiltration of the Tungsten grid with Copper: Fabrication of components

W-EBM part infiltrated with Cu



W-EBM part



Ready for High-Heat-Flux Testing

26

BeYOND IX, 16. September 2022

Dirk Radloff

27

Neutron-Resistant Structural Materials




Impact of 14 MeV neutrons:

- Displacement damage: recoil cascades
- Transmutation damage: activation, He and H production
- Activation: avoid long-lived radio-isotopes
- dpa and He bubbles: embrittlement, mechanical degradation

Work program:

- Fission reactor irradiations – **lead**
- PIE characterization, modeling, **design rules** – **lead** –

Neutron-Resistant
Structural Materials



Standard:
EUROFER

Advanced:
EUROFER ODS
Ductile Tungsten
EBM Tungsten

Developed at KIT

**Fusion Neutron Source -
Proposal for participation
in IFMIF-DONES (Spain)**

27

BeYOND IX, 16. September 2022

Dirk Radloff


Neutron-Resistant Structural Materials



Impact of 14 MeV neutrons:

- Activation: avoid long-lived radio-isotopes
- dpa and He bubbles: mechanical degradation embrittlement
- Materials development
- Materials Property Handbook
- Neutron irradiation program
- Fusion spectrum neutron source IFMIF/DONES



Neutron-Resistant
Structural Materials



28

BeYOND IX, 16. September 2022


Dirk Radloff



Strategic Goal:

Early Deployment of Fusion Energy

- Provide the necessary support to the completion of **ITER**
 - ~ 2025 First Plasma
 - Deuterium-tritium operation ~ 2035
- R&D beyond ITER following the **EUROfusion Roadmap**
 - DEMO Conceptual Design
 - Materials irradiation data base, design rules
 - Support for **W7-X** and the stellarator line



31

- Train the next Generation for ITER and DEMO

Dirk Radloff



**Thank you for
your attention!**

32

BeYOND IX, 16. September 2022

Dirk Radloff

Fusion-for-Future: Bridge to Private Invested Companies in Fusion Energy

M. Lemmens (Lemmens Medien & KBHF, Germany) et al.

Fusion-for-Future: Bridge to Private Invested Companies in Fusion Energy

Markus Lemmens^{1,2} and Aniceto Goraieb²

¹*Lemmens Medien GmbH, Bonn, Germany*

²*Karlsruhe Beryllium Handling Facility (KBHF), Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen, Germany*

Beside the well-established big science projects in Fusion, about three dozen Start-ups or private invested companies are competing in "building a star on earth". It is not unlikely that one of them will succeed within this or early in the next decade. But the star will need a bottle, an oven where it can be safely handled. Scientists call this bottle a blanket, and it has three major roles:

- First to hold the energy long enough insight to keep the fire burning
- Second, to produce additional fuel for the process (especially for the D-T concepts)
- Third, to build a biological shield towards the environment

In most concepts, the blanket is nearly as complex as the reactor core. Even if one of these Fusion machines will reach break-even (energy necessary equal to energy produced) the blanket might need another decade to be ready to use.

We at KBHF Karlsruhe are running a "Spin-In", a private organized infrastructure on a research campus and have more than 30 years of experience in Fusion research while living in the field of tension between science and industry. Therefore, our intension is to bridge into the private invested cooperate world. More than 20 years ago, a non-profit-organization was founded by our company to communicate science to the public. This club could eventually be the germination cell for a new undertaking towards Fusion based on cooperation and collaboration.

Corresponding Author:

Dr. Markus Lemmens

lemmens@lemmens.de

Lemmens Medien GmbH

Matthias-Gruenewald Strasse 1-3

D-53175 Bonn

GERMANY



Linking Fundamental Research & Private Invested Companies

Aniceto Goraieb and Markus Lemmens, GVT & KBHF, Karlsruhe

- **An easygoing start:** We notice the growing activities in private invested and Fusion Energy related companies worldwide.
 - **But we do nothing and keep calm!**
- **A stronger note:** We can ignore the good thirty start ups and slightly mature enterprises in Fusion collecting nearly 4 Billion dollars in venture capital soon.
 - **Then we will lose time in building a strong global network!**
- **An urgent attitude:** We risk the European (pole) position in Fusion in case politicians will no longer follow because industry finds too little to put into practice.
 - **Can we still hide the advantages of a pragmatic Public Private Partnership?**

2



...is this what we want?

Certainly not!

- So we thought about a few things, how to create the **Future-for-Fusion landscape**.
- We would like to suggest an **agile network** of established labs and promising start-ups.

3



The Start-Up GVT was founded in 1993

Aniceto Goraieb

The initial situation (4 slides)

- My thesis in “Nuclear Engineering” was part of the Fusion Blanket Design.
- One option in solid form is using Beryllium and Lithium-Ceramics as a pebble bed.
- No laboratory for the use of Beryllium was available, so I built up my own.
- The first 10 years were focused on materials testing, like thermo-hydraulic tests.
- Our first own research activity on FLiBe in 2002 brought us to material design.

4



The Club MKP – Mankind Project came to life in 2000

- “Nurture of the human mind for the future of the humankind”.
- Research and technology should be dedicated to wishes and visions of mankind.
- Start a communication process between science, industry, politics, and the public.
- Improvement of knowledge about current research projects and alternatives.
- Initiate, promote, and finance our own meaningful research activities based on that.

5



The Spin-In KBHF was founded in 2009

- The constantly growing infrastructure made it necessary to change the legal form.
- Additional studies “Research Management” brought me to the idea of a “Spin-In”.
- KIT and my shareholder Markus Lemmens helped me to found KBHF GmbH at KIT.
- A Spin-In is operated by an intrapreneur and is focused on Deep-Tech (long-term technology transfer).
- Last year my MBA-Thesis showed the similarity to the Fusion-Start-Up-Scene.

6



FUSION FOR FUTURE ↔ the MKP – Mankindproject

- Mankind projects have long transit times and discontinuities in technology transfer.
- A non-profit organization would be a good accompanying partner in this case.
 - MKP ↔ LTT ↔ Non-Profit (LTT = Long-Term Technology Transfer)
 - Deep-Tech ↔ Spin-In ↔ Intrapreneurship
- Since the pandemic, Deep-Tech seems to be the new expression for LTT.
- If we put LTT = Deep-Tech, we get the equation: MKP ↔ Spin-In ↔ Non-Profit.
- Now bringing all of them together: FUSION ↔ KBHF ↔ Fusion-for-Future.
- Fusion-for-Future can be a project of the non-profit-association Mankind Project e.V.

7



The beyond 2023 agile network

Markus Lemmens

The idea for tomorrow (3 slides)

1. Identifying research & development needs and practical feasibilities (tech landscape).
2. Solve technical problems by bringing “suppliers” and “consumers” together (demands).
3. Establishing the mediation role and building an agile organization (coordination).
4. Ensure that established partners are informed / involved, for example: Fusion Industry Association, EuroFusion, Fusion Industry Liaison Office (strong community).
5. Convince the individual companies with a lean entity that ensures the “time-to-market requirement” as well as the solid “fundamental research expertise”.
6. Let’s join The Club: the **non-profit-association MKP** from 2023 onwards... to create in the 2020s the industrial and in the 2030s the commercial market(s).

8



THE COMPANY'S WORLD MAP



Building an Agile Network of Research, Development and Technology with high impact in energy supply of global civil societies – the *Fusion for Future*.

9

SAVE THE DATE

ONLINE CONFERENCE
**MANKIND
PROJECT 2.0**
February 23, 2023

Our vision for the future
is to build a Star on Earth.

Are you ready to be part
of this journey in 2023?

www.fusion-for-future.de



FUSION

FOR FUTURE



10

Session 2: Beryllium Health & Safety in Fusion Research

Beryllium Regulatory Review and Communications Update

T. Knudson (Materion Brush Inc., U.S.A.)

Beryllium Regulatory Review and Communications Update

Theodore Knudson

Materion Brush Inc., Mayfield Heights, Ohio, U.S.A.

Beryllium is a critical material for DT fusion reactors, particularly for its use as a neutron multiplier in tritium breeding blankets. However, the requirement for just one GW-e scale fusion DEMO reactor is around 400 tons and the global beryllium industry produces just 300 to 400 tons per year.

Current and proposed regulations related to occupational health and safety, critical raw materials and substance bans and restrictions in the European Union, the United States and other jurisdictions have the potential to significantly impact the supply, use and handling of beryllium and beryllium-containing materials in various industrial sectors and markets. Several of these regulations have required the development of additional communications regarding the safe handling and use of beryllium and beryllium-containing materials.

This presentation will identify and review the above referenced current and proposed regulations and discuss how these regulations have or will impact supply, use and handling of beryllium and beryllium-containing materials. Additionally, the presentation will discuss the current status of these regulations and the actions being taken by the beryllium industry to minimize the potential impact and comply with these regulations.

In addition to the above, the presentation will include information on the most recent communication tools developed by the beryllium industry to assist with handling these materials safely.

Corresponding Author:

Mr. Theodore Knudson, MS, CIH
Senior Director, Regulatory Affairs and Product Stewardship
theodore.knudson@materion.com
Materion Brush Inc.
6070 Parkland Boulevard
Mayfield Heights, Ohio 44124
U.S.A.



Beryllium Regulatory Review

- Beryllium Science & Technology Association
- Occupational Exposure Limits (OEL)
 - EU Binding OEL
 - OSHA Beryllium Standard
- RoHS – Restriction on Hazardous Substances in EEE
- REACH - Registration, Evaluation, Authorization and Restriction of Chemicals.
- EU Regulations/Programs Under Development
- Rest-of-World (ROW) Regulations
- BeST Communications – Be Responsible

Beryllium Science and Technology (BeST)



- **BeST** – Beryllium Industry Association in EU
- Directorate (Ridens Public Affairs) located in Brussels, Belgium
- **Members**
 - Materion Corporation
 - NGK Berylco France
 - Tropag
- **Associate Members**
 - Schmeltzmetall AG
 - CBL
 - UK Atomic Energy Authority
 - BGV Group Management



3 © 2021 Materion Corporation

Beryllium Science and Technology (BeST)



Our Mission:

Promotion of sound policies, regulations, science and actions related to the use of beryllium and serve as an expert resource for the international community on the benefits and criticality of Beryllium applications.

Promotion of good practices at the workplace.

Our expertise has been gained through our research efforts and the solid evidence from epidemiology and medical studies.

More importantly, we have workplace experience in protecting workers.

Our success has been demonstrated through numerous studies and reviews.



4 © 2021 Materion Corporation

Occupational Exposure Limits - EU

- After several years of study and consideration, the EU set a binding worker protection standard for beryllium under the Carcinogens and Mutagens Directive (CMD).
- The limit was based on prevention of CBD due to the relative uncertainty surrounding carcinogenicity.
- The new Occupational Exposure Limit (OEL) is:
 - 0.6 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$, Inhalable fraction, 8-hour TWA for a 7-year transitional period (until July 11, 2026)
 - 0.2 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$, Inhalable fraction, 8-hour TWA after transitional period
- Member States were required to bring into force the laws, regulations and administrative provisions necessary to comply with this Directive by 11 July 2021.

5 © 2021 Materion Corporation



Occupational Exposure Limits - US

- OSHA published the final Beryllium Standard for General Industry on July 14, 2020 (29 CFR 1910.1024)
- All elements of the Beryllium Standard became enforceable on September 15, 2020
- The Permissible Exposure Limit (PEL) is 0.2 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$, 8-hour TWA.
- The Short-Term Exposure Limit (STEL) is 2 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ determined over a 15-minute period.
- The Action Level (AL) is 0.1 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$, 8-hour TWA.
- Exposures at or above these levels prompt implementation of other provisions.

6 © 2021 Materion Corporation



Occupational Exposure Limits - US

The provisions of the OSHA Beryllium Standard (29 CFR 1910.1024) include:

- Scope
- Permissible Exposure Limits
- Exposure Monitoring
- Beryllium Work and Regulated Areas
- Methods of Compliance
- Written Exposure Control Plan
- Engineering and Work Practice Controls
- Respiratory Protection
- Protective Clothing and Equipment
- Hygiene Areas and Practices
- Housekeeping
- Medical Surveillance
- Medical Removal
- Communication of Hazards
- Recordkeeping

7 © 2021 Materion Corporation



Be BERYLLIUM SAFETY GUIDE FOR COMPLIANCE INTERACTIVE GUIDE RESOURCES ABOUT CONTACT



Materion is an advanced materials supplier and is the world's only fully integrated developer and supplier of beryllium (Be), beryllium alloys and beryllium composites. For over 50 years, we have been at the forefront of developing and engineering comprehensive health and safety procedures and protocols related to occupational beryllium exposure. This website is dedicated to providing employers and their associates the latest information on working safely with beryllium containing materials.



GUIDE FOR COMPLIANCE
Our Guide for Compliance to the OSHA Beryllium Standard is designed to help plants and safety personnel through a step-by-step process which will result in a comprehensive compliance plan.

Guidance for Compliance
OSHA Beryllium Standard
29 CFR 1910.1024



INTERACTIVE GUIDE
Our Interactive Guide to working safely with Beryllium was created to provide employers and employees throughout the supply chain with guidance on working safely with beryllium and beryllium-containing materials.

Interactive Guide to the
Beryllium Worker
Protection Standard

www.berylliumsafety.com

8 © 2021 Materion Corporation



RoHS

- Beryllium and beryllium-containing materials were not included in the European Union Directive on the restriction of the use of certain hazardous substances in electrical and electronic equipment (RoHS) (2011/65/EU), 2011/65/EU (RoHS 2) and 2015/863/EU (RoHS3).
- RoHS restricts the use of lead, cadmium, hexavalent chromium, mercury, PBB, PBDE, DBP, BBP, DEHP and DIBP in most electrical and electronic products.

9 © 2021 Materion Corporation



RoHS

- Beryllium was one of seven materials under review as part of the European Commission (EC) “Study to support the review of the list of restricted substances and to assess a new exemption request under RoHS 2” that began in January 2018.
- During the study consultation conducted by the EC consultant, BeST provided information as to the importance of beryllium in Electrical and Electronic Equipment (EEE), the minimal risk in the use of beryllium in EEE and the consequences for restricting beryllium in EEE.
- The EC consultant’s assessment of beryllium was published on September 26, 2019 and updated on March 25, 2020 based on the information provided during the assessment review. The EC consultant recommended that beryllium **not** be included on the RoHS restricted substance list.
- Action on the recommendations is expected in 2023 as part of the RoHS General Review.

10 © 2021 Materion Corporation



REACH Regulation Summary

- REACH is the European Union (EU) Regulation on Registration, Evaluation, Authorization and Restriction of Chemicals.
- It entered into force on June 1, 2007 and required manufacturers and importers to register substances.
- Beryllium, Beryllium Oxide and all of the elements contained in beryllium-containing alloys have been registered with ECHA as required.

11 © 2021 Materion Corporation



REACH Regulation Summary

- Massive forms of beryllium metal, beryllium alloys and beryllia ceramic are classified as “articles” and therefore were not required to be registered under REACH.
- CoRAP and RMOA conducted for beryllium by German BAuA.
- Final recommendation was not to classify beryllium as a Substance of Very High Concern (SVHC), for industry to develop a Voluntary Product Stewardship Program and for EU to identify a binding Occupational Exposure Limit (OEL) for beryllium.

12 © 2021 Materion Corporation



EU Regulations/Programs Under Development

BeST Watchlist

- **Chemical Strategy for Sustainability (EU Green Deal)**
- **Essential Use Concept (EUC)**
- **Generic Risk Approach (GRA)**
- **Green Public Procurement (GPP)**
- **Circular Economy**
- **Critical Raw Materials**

13 © 2021 Materion Corporation



Rest-of-World (ROW) Regulations

OELs/REACH/RoHS Regulations

- **UK**
- **Australia**
- **India**
- **Bangladesh**
- **China**

14 © 2021 Materion Corporation




BeST Communications – Be Responsible

- Industry led program launched in March 2017
- Includes a web-based format featuring 12 process specific guides in EN, FR, DE, IT, ES, presentations and videos accessible at www.berylliumsafety.eu
- Describes the potential health risks associated with the exposure to airborne beryllium, the main sources of exposure and the measures to be implemented to control dust emission and dispersion for the most frequent operations



15 © 2021 Materion Corporation




Over the years, the Beryllium Science and Technology Association (BeST) has worked to advance the science of beryllium health and safety to better protect beryllium workers, family members and the general public. It is expected the customers and users of beryllium containing materials will benefit from the creation of the **Be Responsible** Beryllium Product Stewardship Program that formally engages workers, trade unions and governmental authorities in a cooperative arrangement that seeks to

PRODUCT STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

www.berylliumsafety.eu

16 © 2021 Materion Corporation





Materion enables what's next™

To learn more about Materion and our capabilities, please feel free to contact me:

Ted Knudson, MS, CIH
Sr. Dir., Reg. Affairs and Product Stewardship
Theodore.Knudson@Materion.com
+1 216 383 4040



17 © 2021 Materion Corporation

Beryllium End-Use Applications & Material Forms and Their Effects on Protection
Programs for Workers & Consumers

C.K. Dorn (Be4FUSION, U.S.A.) et al.

Beryllium End-Use Applications & Material Forms and Their Effects on Protection Programs for Workers & Consumers

Christopher K. Dorn¹ and Kathryn Creek²

¹*Be4FUSION LLC, Upland, California, U.S.A.*

²*Beryllium Solutions International LLC, Lourinha, Portugal*

Scientists who are familiar with metallic beryllium and its uses in aerospace and defense as well as in various types of nuclear research, including fusion energy, may be under the impression that these applications constitute the most common end-uses for beryllium, but in fact, they do not.

The overwhelming majority of primary beryllium made each year is not found in the form of metallic beryllium, but rather it goes into the production of low beryllium-content alloys, such as copper-beryllium, nickel-beryllium, and aluminum-beryllium. In addition, compounds such as beryllium oxide ceramics are also produced in significant quantities.

New applications in nuclear energy may also see a large increase in the use of beryllium fluoride in its compound form. Up until recently, beryllium fluoride has mainly been encountered as an intermediate material in the Kroll process for refining metallic beryllium. The recent interest in this compound stems from its use in the form of FLiBe (fluoride-lithium-beryllium), a molten salt that is already slated for use in small modular reactors (fission), and it may also have potential use in a fusion reactor.

This presentation gives an overview on beryllium's useful properties, the global market, industries where beryllium is found, typical applications for beryllium-containing materials in their various forms, and exposure assessments by industry. In support of safe handling of beryllium and the furtherance of protection programs for the environment, industry workers, and consumers, this presentation highlights that low beryllium-content materials are a much more commonly encountered form of the material than beryllium metal, which is important to understand since health and safety requirements are the same regardless of the form of the material.

Corresponding Author:

Christopher Dorn

chris.dorn@be4fusion.com

Be4FUSION LLC

Postal address:

1528 North Granite Avenue

Ontario, California 91762-1200

U.S.A.

bsi

Be4FUSION
Specialty Materials & Business Consulting

Beryllium End-Use Applications & Material Forms & Their Effects on Protection Programs for Workers & Consumers





BeWS-15 is organized under the auspices of the IEA Technology Collaboration Program on Fusion Materials (FM TCP)

Christopher DORN • Be4FUSION & Kathryn CREEK, CIH, MS • Beryllium Solutions International

**15th International Workshop on Beryllium Technology
9th BeYOND Industrial Forum
September 2022**


© Beryllium Solutions International & Be4FUSION, 2022 • Page 1

▶ Presentation Outline

- ▶ Overview on Beryllium End-Uses
- ▶ Global Market for Beryllium
 - ▶ Beryllium Markets by End-Use Application
- ▶ Material Forms of Beryllium
- ▶ Why Beryllium? – Useful Properties
 - ▶ Properties: Beryllium-Containing Alloys
- ▶ End-Use Applications: Alloys & Compounds
 - ▶ Typical Cu-Be Alloy Applications
 - ▶ Typical BeO Ceramic Applications
 - ▶ New End-Use Applications: Molten Salt Reactors
- ▶ Industries Where Beryllium is Found in Some Form
- ▶ Impact on Protection for Workers & Consumers

► Overview on Beryllium End-Uses

- There is a great deal of misinformation about beryllium (especially in online sources) due to misunderstandings about the various forms in which beryllium is found
- In fusion, one may think that “beryllium” refers to Be metal or high-Be content materials (e.g., beryllide compounds), but those materials actually represent only a small fraction of the forms of beryllium most commonly found
- Copper-beryllium (Cu-Be) alloys, which are generally <2% Be content, represent the most common form by far in which beryllium is encountered
- Beryllium oxide (BeO) ceramics are also more frequently found in commercial applications than Be metal



bsi

© Beryllium Solutions International & Be4FUSION, 2022 • Page 3


Be4FUSION

► Global Market for Beryllium



Source: Mordor Intelligence
<https://www.mordorintelligence.com/industry-reports/beryllium-market>

Beryllium Market, Volume (%), by End-user Industry, Global, 2021



Beryllium Market - Growth Rate by Region, 2022-2027




bsi

© Beryllium Solutions International & Be4FUSION, 2022 • Page 4

Be4FUSION

► Beryllium Markets by End-Use Application



Source: Materion Corp., 2016
Cited by USGS: <https://pubs.usgs.gov/of/2016/01/>


bsi

© Beryllium Solutions International & Be4FUSION, 2022 • Page 5

Be4FUSION

► Material Forms of Beryllium

- Metallic Element
- Precious Gem Forms
- Be-Bearing Ores
- Largest End-Use/ Forms
 - Alloys
 - Metal & Composites
 - Compounds
- Technical Terms
 - Alloy Naming Convention



Beryllium Metal



Copper-Beryllium Alloy Strip Coil



Beryllium Oxide Ceramics



Beryl Photo from Mill
Source: Smithsonian Institution


bsi

© Beryllium Solutions International & Be4FUSION, 2022 • Page 6

Be4FUSION

Why Beryllium? – Useful Properties

- ▶ Density
- ▶ Stiffness
- ▶ Thermal
- ▶ Optical
- ▶ Nuclear
 - ▶ Fission & Fusion
 - ▶ X-Ray & Neutronic
- ▶ Acoustic
- ▶ Diamagnetic




Periodic table showing elements and their atomic weights. Beryllium (Be) is highlighted in green. Red lines connect the Beryllium box to its position in the periodic table.

bsi

© Beryllium Solutions International & Be4FUSION, 2022 • Page 7

Be4FUSION

Properties: Beryllium-Containing Alloys



- ▶ Basic Principle
 - ▶ Keep desirable properties of the primary metal (Cu, Ni, Al) but improve mechanical properties by adding small amount of Be
- ▶ Electrical
- ▶ Thermal
- ▶ Mechanical
- ▶ Heat Treatable
- ▶ Stress Relaxation
- ▶ Miniaturization
- ▶ Corrosion Resistance
- ▶ Non-Magnetic
- ▶ Non-Sparking

bsi

© Beryllium Solutions International & Be4FUSION, 2022 • Page 8

Be4FUSION

► Typical Copper-Beryllium Alloy Applications



Electrical Contacts
& Connectors



Oil & Gas:
Heads &
Components
for Downhole
Drilling



Bulk Products: Rod, Bar & Tube
Used in a wide variety of industries



Dies & Inserts
for Plastic Molding



Non-Sparking Tools

bsi

© Beryllium Solutions International & Be4FUSION, 2022 • Page 9

Be4FUSION

► Other Beryllium-Containing Alloy Applications



Golf Club Heads
Cu-Be & Ni-Be Irons & Putters
Al-Be Irons & Putters
Mass production in 1990s but
still readily found today from
both original makers and after-
market sources



High-Reliability Springs
Cu-Be & Ni-Be
Widely used in sprinkler heads



Al-Be Master Alloy Ingot for
Smelting of Aluminum, Nickel &
Magnesium Alloys and Be Ingot for
Refining of High-Purity Magnesium

bsi


© Beryllium Solutions International & Be4FUSION, 2022 • Page 10

Be4FUSION


▶ Typical BeO Ceramic Applications



Heatsinks for Printed Circuit Boards



Telecom & Wireless Infrastructure: Transistors, Resistors, Attenuators & Terminations



Dosimeters



Crucibles and Insulators



Boros & Returns for Gas Lasers, Substrates for Laser Diodes & Traveling Wave Tubes



bsi

© Beryllium Solutions International & Be4FUSION, 2022 • Page 11


Be4FUSION

▶ Beryllium in Molten Salt for Fission & Fusion Energy

- ▶ FLiBe: Be Fluoride & Li Fluoride Salt
- ▶ First Major Use: 8MWth Molten Salt Reactor Experiment (MSRE) at Oak Ridge National Laboratory (USA), from 1965-1969
- ▶ Not combustible; non-melting $>455^{\circ}\text{C}$
- ▶ FLiBe is corrosive to stainless steel
- ▶ Tritium generation is also likely
- ▶ MSRE used graphite and Hastelloy-N successfully (safely) for containment
- ▶ Work task exposure assessments needed to determine risks for operators & technicians
- ▶ Issues Identified by US NRC:
 - ▶ Assurance that coolant properties are maintained
 - ▶ Control of corrosion in the system
 - ▶ Limiting the effects of reactivity
 - ▶ Graphite compatibility; check vs. recent data from China



MSRE, 1960s



Two-Fluid Reactor Core Cutaway Schematic

Image Source: Flibe Energy, ORNL Molten Salt Workshop, 2020
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=mg249C88XGQ>

Source: US Nuclear Regulatory Commission Report, 2020
<https://www.nrc.gov/docs/ML2014/ML20148M030.pdf>

bsi

© Beryllium Solutions International & Be4FUSION, 2022 • Page 12

Be4FUSION

▶ Industries Where Beryllium is Found in some Form

- ▶ Aerospace & Defense
- ▶ Nuclear & fusion energy
 - ▶ Beryllium metal components
 - ▶ FLiBe molten salt coolant
- ▶ Automotive
- ▶ Electronic
- ▶ Consumer goods
- ▶ Fabricators (welding)
- ▶ Telecommunications
- ▶ Plastics manufacturing
- ▶ Foundry/Casting/Smelting (Be metal, Be-containing alloys, BeO; Al, Ni, Mg alloys)
- ▶ Healthcare (x-ray & lasers)
- ▶ Audio speakers and drivers
- ▶ Non-destructive testing & inspection
- ▶ Scientific
- ▶ Semiconductor manufacturing
- ▶ Security
- ▶ Chemical
- ▶ Gas/Oil exploration & processing
- ▶ Jewelry
- ▶ Surgical/Medical/Dental device manufacturing
- ▶ Food processing
- ▶ Present as a naturally occurring constituent:
 - ▶ Sand- and grit-blasting (fly ash slag)
 - ▶ Fertilizer manufacturing
 - ▶ Cement manufacturing (fly ash)


bsi

© Beryllium Solutions International & Be4FUSION, 2022 • Page 13

Be4FUSION

▶ Impact on Protection for Workers & Consumers

- ▶ Historical Exposure Assessments
 - ▶ France: dental industry survey questionnaire 2003-2004
 - ▶ France: surveys of other manufacturing industries in 2019 showed exposures over $0.05\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ in several fields
 - ▶ USA: OSHA and NIOSH identified industries with exposures over $0.1\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ in 2001, based on data collected from 1979-1996
- ▶ Needs for Current & Future Activities
 - ▶ Always work to ALARA / ALARP principles
 - ▶ Management commitment & priority in energy research
 - ▶ Education of and cooperation with regulatory agencies
 - ▶ Contractors taking responsibility for sub-contractors
 - ▶ Consumer information and awareness programs



Beryllium worker wearing pressurized suit inside the Joint European Torus (JET) vessel

Image Source: Camping, D. Be Safety Training at JET – PPE & RPE, presented to the European Commission, 17-Sep-2018
<https://slideplayer.com/slide/14016011/>

bsi

© Beryllium Solutions International & Be4FUSION, 2022 • Page 14

Be4FUSION

bsi

Be4FUSION
Specialty Materials & Business Consulting

**Thank you
for your attention!**



Kathryn Creek, CIH, MS
Senior Consultant
Beryllium Solutions International
creek@beryllium-solutions.com
www.Beryllium-Solutions.com

Christopher Dorn
Consultant & Owner
Be4FUSION LLC
chris.dorn@be4fusion.com
www.be4fusion.com

© Beryllium Solutions International & Be4FUSION, 2022 • Page 15

HLA-DPB1 E69 Genotype and Exposure in Beryllium Sensitization and Disease

M. Mroz (National Jewish Health, U.S.A.) et al.

HLA-DPB1 E69 Genotype and Exposure in Beryllium Sensitization and Disease

Margaret M. Mroz MSPH¹, James Crooks PhD^{1,2}, Michael VanDyke PhD^{1,2},
Alison McGrath MS^{1,2}, Christine Schuler PhD³, Erin McCanlies PhD³, Abbas Virji ScD³,
Ken Rosenman MD⁴, Milton Rossman MD⁵, Carol Rice PhD⁶, Dimitri Monos PhD⁵,
Tasha E. Fingerlin PhD^{1,2}, and Lisa A. Maier MD, MSPH^{1,2}

¹National Jewish Health, Denver, Colorado, U.S.A.

²University of Colorado, Denver, Colorado, U.S.A.

³CDC/National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, Morgantown, West Virginia, U.S.A.

⁴Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan, U.S.A.

⁵University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, U.S.A.

⁶University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, Ohio, U.S.A.

HLA-DPB1 E69 genotype and inhalational beryllium exposure individually contribute to risk of chronic beryllium disease (CBD) and beryllium sensitization (BeS) in exposed individuals. This retrospective nested case-control study assessed the contribution of genetics and exposure in the development of BeS and CBD.

Workers with BeS (n=444), CBD (n=449), and beryllium-exposed controls (n=890) were enrolled from studies conducted at nuclear weapons and primary beryllium manufacturing facilities. Lifetime-average beryllium exposure estimates were based on workers' job questionnaires and historical and industrial hygienist (IH) exposure estimates, blinded to genotype and case status. Genotyping was performed using sequence specific primer-polymerase chain reaction (SSP-PCR). Logistic regression models were developed allowing for over-dispersion, adjusting for workforce, race, sex, and ethnicity.

Having no E69 alleles was associated with lower odds of both CBD and BeS; every additional E69 allele increased odds for CBD and BeS. Increasing exposure was associated with lower odds of BeS. CBD was not associated with exposure as compared to controls, yet the percent of individuals with CBD versus BeS increased with increasing exposure. No evidence of a gene-by-exposure interaction was found for CBD or BeS.

Risk of CBD increases with E69 allele frequency and increasing exposure, although no gene by environment interaction was found. A decreased risk of BeS with increasing exposure and lack of exposure-response in CBD cases may be due to the limitations of reconstructed exposure estimates. Although reducing exposure may not prevent BeS, it may reduce CBD and the associated health effects, especially in those carrying E69 alleles.

Corresponding Author:

Margaret Mroz, MSPH
mrozp@NJHealth.org
National Jewish Health
1400 Jackson Street
Denver, Colorado 80206-2761
U.S.A.



HLA-DPB1 E69 Genotype and Exposure in Beryllium Sensitization and Disease

Lisa A Maier, MD MSPH
National Jewish Health, USA
September 16, 2022

BeYOND-IX 2022


Beryllium

Brittle, grey metal found in coal, soil, volcanic dust, some rock minerals
4th lightest element, low density, stiff, corrosion resistant, high melting point

Forms:

- Metal
- Ceramic (beryllia)
- Alloys
 - Be copper (2-4%)
 - AlBeMet (40-60%)
- Salts
- Silicate
 - Naturally occurring
 - Very low concentration in air and soil

*All refined forms have been
associated with health effects




Applications:

Aerospace
Alloys
Automotive parts
Computers
Dental prosthesis
Electronics
Manufacturing
Non-sparking tools
Nuclear reactor components
Nuclear weapons
Telecommunications



Health Effects of Beryllium

Routes of Exposure



Health Effects

- **Lungs**
 - Acute beryllium disease
 - Lung cancer
 - **Beryllium sensitization (BeS)**
 - **Chronic beryllium disease (CBD)**
- **Skin**
 - Several skin effects
 - BeS




3



Immunology of CBD

Type IV, delayed hypersensitivity, cell-mediated immunity

BeLPT assesses this response



4


Background



Positive association between increasing beryllium exposure and CBD




Association glutamic acid at position 69(E69) in the HLA-DPB1 gene and CBD or BeS



5


Differential risk by Glu69 status and exposure level

Increasing exposure increases risk in
all workers



6

Risk of BeS and CBD related to Exposure




As exposures increase, the risk for developing BeS declines while the risk of developing CBD increases.



7

Specific Aims

To define the relationship between beryllium exposure estimates and HLA DPB1 E69 genotypes in a combined cohort of four different beryllium exposed workforces.



8

Methods

Retrospective nested case-control study – case definitions

CBD
2 positive beryllium lymphocyte proliferation tests (BeLPT)
+
granulomas on biopsy
or a
positive bronchoalveolar lavage lymphocyte proliferation test with lymphocyte >15%.


BeS only
2 positive BeLPTs
+
No evidence of CBD on bronchoscopy and biopsy

Control
Exposure to beryllium
+
2 or more negative BeLPTs



9

Cohorts



10

Genotyping

- HLA-DPB1 genotyping by sequence specific primer-polymerase chain reaction
 - 1) E69 positive, indicating carriage of at least one E96 allele
 - 2) E69 homozygous, specifying carriage of two copies of any E69-positive alleles;
 - 3) E69 negative, non-carriage of any E69-positive alleles.

Exposure

- Beryllium exposure estimates: individual worker detailed job exposures
- Historical industry data, published data and data collected for regulatory purposes → establish job exposure estimates
- Cumulative exposure (x Be proc), **Lifetime Average Exposure** and highest process exposure (x DOE repository) were calculated for cases and controls

Statistics

- Logistic regression models developed allowing for over-dispersion, adjusting for workforce, race, sex, and ethnicity.

11




Number of E69 alleles by Diagnosis

	Diagnosis N (%)				Chi-square p-value
	Number	Control	BeS	CBD	
E69 Alleles	0	549 (77.3)	93 (13.1)	56 (7.9)	5.59 E-87
	1	302 (32.3)	291 (31.1)	305 (32.6)	
	2	39 (19.4)	60 (29.9)	88 (43.7)	

12



Exposure by Diagnosis and E69 Genotype



13




Results

- Having no E69 alleles = lower odds of both CBD and BeS
- Every additional E69 allele increased odds for CBD and BeS
- Increasing lifetime-average exposure = lower odds of BeS vs controls; CBD not significantly associated with increasing exposure
- No evidence of gene-by-exposure interaction for CBD or BeS
- The percent of individuals with CBD vs. BeS increased with increasing quartile of exposure

14



Subjects with CBD vs. BeS by Lifetime Average Exposure Quartile



15


Conclusions

- Risk of CBD increases with E69 allele frequency and increasing exposure.
- No gene by environment interaction was found.
- Lack of exposure-response in CBD cases may be due to the limitations of reconstructed exposure estimates.
- Decreased risk of BeS with increasing exposure ? Censorship or development of CBD
- Reduced exposure may not prevent BeS: **it may reduce the development CBD** and the associated health effects, especially in those most susceptible.

16

Genetic Workplace Testing

- In US, legal and policy lagging behind science
- Genetic discrimination
- Shift of responsibility from employer to employee
- Double edged sword: prevent disease and threaten autonomy, loss of employment, etc
- Exposure may be ignored: shift of responsibility from employer to employee
- Other factors affect disease
- Others: privacy, psychological impact



17

Summary

- HLA-DPB1 E69 genotype and beryllium exposure EACH contribute to chronic beryllium disease (CBD) and beryllium sensitization (BeS)
- Increasing exposure = higher risk of CBD
- Having no E69 alleles = lower odds of both CBD and BeS; every additional E69 allele increased odds for CBD and BeS.
- Increasing exposure = lower odds of BeS NOT CBD vs controls in this study; these findings were likely affected by differential exposure misclassification.
- The % with CBD vs BeS increased with increasing exposure, supporting impact of exposure with CBD.
- This study suggests that **although reducing exposure may not prevent BeS, it may reduce the development CBD and the associated health effects, especially in those most susceptible.**

18




Breathing Science is Life®



19

Chronic Beryllium Disease



20

Occupational Safety in the Environment Containing Beryllium Dust at HELCZA Facility
L. Toupal et al. (CVR, Czech Republic)

Occupational Safety in the Environment Containing Beryllium Dust at HELCZA Facility

Lukáš Toupal, Richard Jílek, and Tomáš Kubásek

Centrum Výzkumu Řež (CVR), Hlavní 130, 25068 Husinec-Řež, Czech Republic

The Full-Scale Prototypes (FSPs) currently undergoing High Heat Flux (HHF) tests at HELCZA (High Energy Load Czech Assembly) were manufactured with beryllium tiles, designed as plasma-facing material, on the surface. The HHF testing poses negative impact on the cohesion of the surface and throughout various processes, as they cause the formation of beryllium dust. This is the significant issue for safety of personnel and environment because airborne beryllium could be carcinogenic for humans. The inhalation of high amount of mentioned dust can result in severe lung disease, additionally long-term beryllium exposure may lead to beryllium sensitization or development of subclinical chronic beryllium disease (CBD).

To address the mentioned danger, measures were carried out with an aim to protect the workers against exposure to beryllium. This paper deals with personnel safety and protection against beryllium dust with the focus being on the beryllium spread monitoring at HELCZA facility.

Corresponding Author:

Mr. Lukáš Toupal
lukas.toupal@cvrez.cz
Research Centre Řež (CVR)
Postal address:
Morseova 1267/10
30100 Plzeň
CZECH REPUBLIC

Occupational safety in the environment containing beryllium dust at HELCZA facility

Lukáš Toupal



Research
Centre Rež




HELCZA
High Energy Load Czech Assembly



UJV Group
TECHNOLOGY | INNOVATION | PEOPLE

What is this presentation about?

source (FSPs)
cause (toxicity)
protection (personnel protective equipment PPE)
monitoring (smearing)
cleaning / response
analytical laboratory



Research
Centre Rež




HELCZA
High Energy Load Czech Assembly



UJV Group
TECHNOLOGY | INNOVATION | PEOPLE

The source of beryllium

Full scale prototype (FSP)



side view





Research
Centre Rez



HELCZA
High-Energy Load Czech Assembly



UJV Group
TECHNOLOGY | INNOVATION | PEOPLE



UJV Group
TECHNOLOGY | INNOVATION | PEOPLE

Toxicity Cause

Acute effects (concentration $>100 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)

- inflammation
- conjunctivitis (eyes)
- dermatitis

BeS (Beryllium Sensitization)

CBD (Chronic Beryllium Disease)

Lung cancer



Research
Centre Rez




HELCZA
High Energy Load Czech Assembly

UJV Group
TECHNOLOGY | INNOVATION | PEOPLE

Protect lungs from beryllium dust pollution

organ	size [μm] ¹
nasal cavity	(7.0-11.0)
larynx, trachea	(4.5-7.0)
primary bronchus	(3.5-4.7)
secondary bronchi	(2.3-3.3)
terminal bronchi	(1.1-2.3)
alveoli sacs	(0.4-1.1)



Research
Centre Rez



HELCZA
High Energy Load Czech Assembly

UJV Group
TECHNOLOGY | INNOVATION | PEOPLE

¹ <https://www.acrd.bc.ca/particulate-matter> (1/8/2022)

Protect lungs from beryllium dust pollution

respirator / half mask (FFP3)
filter ventilation unit
air fed suit



UJV Group
TECHNOLOGY | INNOVATION | PEOPLE

Protect skin and clothes from beryllium



CVŘ

Research
Centre Rež




HELCZA
High Energy Load Czech Assembly

UJV Group
TECHNOLOGY | INNOVATION | PEOPLE



Layout HELCZA facility



Monitoring - Be smearing



Monitoring - Be smearing



Research
Centre Rez




HELCZA
High Energy Load Czech Assembly



UJV Group
TECHNOLOGY | INNOVATION | PEOPLE

Keep the facility clean and eliminate beryllium spread



Research
Centre Rez



HELCZA
High Energy Load Czech Assembly



UJV Group
TECHNOLOGY | INNOVATION | PEOPLE

Decontamination



BeALa (Beryllium analytical lab.)



BeALa (Beryllium analytical lab.)

Has one purpose to measure beryllium

Implemented methods:

- NIOSH 9110 and 7704 (fluorescence)
- NIOSH 9102 and 7302 (microwave digestion + GF-AAS)

Participating in the AIHA BePAT programme with PROFICIENT status

Potential cooperation

- handling hazardous materials, which may release carcinogenic dust particles (e.g. beryllium) during handling or testing
- development of methodologies, procedures and their validation for such environment
- training of personnel to work in hazardous environments
- decontamination operations
- determining characteristics of materials and substances under investigation
- determining quantity and size of dust particles
- non-destructive testing of materials under investigation
- safe handling of hazardous substances



Space for questions



Research
Centre Rez



HELCZA
High Energy Load Czech Assembly



UJV Group
TECHNOLOGY | INNOVATION | PEOPLE

Thank you for your attention

contact: lukas.toupal@cvrez.cz

web: <https://www.helcza.cz>

images: <https://www.flickr.com/photos/192795109@N08/albums/72157719002120696>



Research
Centre Rez



HELCZA
High Energy Load Czech Assembly



UJV Group
TECHNOLOGY | INNOVATION | PEOPLE

17

Standardized Methods for Molecular Fluorescence Determination of Trace Beryllium
K. Ashley (Ashley Analytical Associates, U.S.A.) et al.

Standardized Methods for Molecular Fluorescence Determination of Trace Beryllium

Kevin Ashley¹, John P. Cronin², Anoop Agrawal², and Lori Adams²

¹*Ashley Analytical Associates LLC, Amado, Arizona, U.S.A.*

²*Berylliant Inc., Tucson, Arizona, U.S.A.*

Standardized protocols have been developed based on a molecular fluorescence method for the determination of trace beryllium (Be) in samples obtained in occupational and environmental settings. The methodology, which is field-portable, entails extraction of collected samples in dilute ammonium bifluoride (aqueous), followed by fluorescence measurement of the complex formed between Be and hydroxybenzoquinoline sulfonate (HBQS).

The technique has been optimized to achieve an estimated method detection limit (MDL) of ≤ 1 ng Be/sample, with a dynamic range extending to >10 μ g Be/sample. Potentially interfering metals (in >400 -fold excess concentration compared to that of Be) are negligible or minimal. Besides beryllium salts and other Be compounds, the procedure is effective for the dissolution and quantitative determination of Be extracted from refractory beryllium oxide (BeO) particles.

The method has also been successfully modified for measuring Be content in soils. The performance of the overall procedure compares favorably with methods employing sample digestion in acid mixtures that include hydrofluoric acid, followed by inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (ICPMS). ASTM International voluntary consensus standards and U.S. National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) methods based on the methodology have been promulgated.

The sampling and analytical protocol has been thoroughly evaluated and validated through intra- and inter-laboratory testing. These methods are recognized by laboratory accrediting organizations in the USA and in Europe. Application to the measurement of trace Be in occupational and environmental samples has been successfully extended to:

- Workplace air samples
- Surface wipe samples (media: cellulosic and polyvinyl alcohol)
- Cellulosic inserts for air & micro-vacuum samples
- High-fired (calcined) BeO
- Cotton gloves
- Nanoparticles (airborne)
- Soil samples

Corresponding Author:

Dr. Kevin Ashley

keadash4@live.com

Ashley Analytical Associates LLC

1921 West Eagle Way

Amado, Arizona 85645

U.S.A.

STANDARDIZED METHODS FOR MOLECULAR FLUORESCENCE DETERMINATION OF TRACE BERYLLIUM

Kevin Ashley, PhD

Ashley Analytical Associates LLC, Amado, AZ (USA)

John P Cronin, PhD

Anoop Agrawal, ScD

Lori Adams

Berylliant, Inc., Tucson, AZ (USA)

Beryllium Workshop, Karlsruhe, Germany, September 2022


PRESENTATION OVERVIEW

- Brief background on Be sampling & trace analysis methods
- Re-cap method development, evaluation and validation of Be measurement: fitness for purpose
- Interlaboratory studies: supporting data
- Consensus standard protocols & government methods for trace Be in occupational environments
- Focus: Ultra-trace Be measurement in various media (occupational / environmental) by molecular fluorescence

APPLICATIONS / USES OF BERYLLIUM

Occupational environments where beryllium is used or may be present:

- Aerospace
- Nuclear power & weapons
- Automotive industry
- Sports equipment
- Communications (cell phones)
- Foundries (e.g., Cu-Be alloys)
- Recycling of electronic components (e.g., computers)
- Aluminum (Be in bauxite)
- Coal (Be in ore; sandblasting) (etc.)



BERYLLIUM – HEALTH RISKS

- Exposure pathways:
 - Inhalation (aerosols)
 - Dermal (surface contamination)
- Be health effects:
 - Immunological response (sensitization)
 - Chronic beryllium disease (CBD)
- ACGIH:
 - $0.05 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$: 8-hr TWA [I]
- US DOE – surface Be:
 - $0.2 \mu\text{g}/100 \text{ cm}^2$




Be-in-Air Regulatory Limit Values (GESTIS database 2020; IFA, Germany)

Country	8-hr TWA, $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$	STEL, $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$
United States (OSHA)	0.2	2
Germany	0.14 (I); 0.06 (R)	0.14 (I); 0.06 (R)
Australia, France, New Zealand, Singapore, Sweden, Switzerland, United Kingdom	2	–
Canada (Quebec)	0.15	–
Ireland, Poland, Spain	0.2	–
Czech Republic, Japan, Latvia, Norway	1	–
Finland	0.1	0.4
China	0.5	0.1
Austria	2	0.8
Denmark	1	2
Hungary	2	2
Belgium, Canada (Ontario), Rep. of Korea	2	10

SAMPLING ASPECTS: BERYLLIUM

Air Samples

Newer occupational exposure limits (OELs) often specify collecting inhalable fraction of aerosol



Surface Sampling


Wipe sampling media and techniques are now well standardized.

Also vacuum and bulk sampling protocols



ANALYTICAL CONSIDERATIONS

- **Dissolution:**
Dissolve Be from refractory media, e.g., calcined BeO
- **Determination:**
Quantitatively measure Be at sub-nanogram levels



“High-fired”
(refractory)
BeO particles

TRACE MEASUREMENT METHODS FOR BERYLLIUM IN AIR SAMPLES: ESTIMATED METHOD DETECTION LIMITS (MDLs)

Technique	Estimated MDL (ng/sample)
GFAAS (ETAAS)	5 (NIOSH Method 7102)
ICP-AES (ICP-OES)	9 (NIOSH Method 7300)
ICP-MS	<4.2 (Rousset et al., J. Occup. Environ. Hyg. 2016)
Molecular fluorescence	≈0.1 (Adams et al., Int. J. Environ. Anal. Chem. 2017)

→ Very low MDLs needed for lower limit values & short-term monitoring

INTERNATIONAL CONSENSUS STANDARDS: BERYLLIUM SAMPLING & ANALYSIS

ASTM D7035: ICP-AES (workplace air samples)

ASTM D7202: Molecular fluorescence (air & surfaces)

ASTM D7439: ICP-MS (workplace air samples)

ASTM D7458: Molecular fluorescence (soils / sediments)


ISO 15202: ICP-AES (workplace air samples)

ISO 30011: ICP-MS (workplace air samples)

→ Standards describe sample collection, preparation & analysis

BERYLLIUM FLUORESCENCE: HIGH QUANTUM- YIELD FLUOROPHORE FOR TRACE DETECTION

- Beryllium binds phenolate groups strongly
- Six-member chelate ring provides ideal Be-O / Be-N stereochemistry



Hydroxybenzoquinoline
sulfonic acid (HBQS)

H. Matsumiya et al., *Analyst* (2002)

Beryllium fluorescence with HBQS (3× dilution; pH ≈ 13; λ_f = 480 nm)


For λ_i = 384 nm:

MDL ≈ 0.06 ppb/0.1 ng

LOQ ≈ 0.2 ppb/0.3 ng


Linear response, wide
dynamic range (5x)

Lack of interference
from most metals in
high excess (except
Fe, Ti)



E. Minogue et al., *J. ASTM Int.* (2005)


Alternative dissolution to HF, H₂SO₄: Dilute ammonium bifluoride (NH₄HF₂)



- Dissolution of silicate materials; apply to
refractories such as ceramic BeO:



MULTI-LAB BERYLLIUM OXIDE DISSOLUTION STUDY



T. J. Oatts et al., *J. Environ. Monit.* (2012)

NH₄HF₂ (1%) DISSOLUTION: BERYLLIUM METAL & BERYLLIUM OXIDE ON SAMPLING MEDIA

Sample / media	Extraction temp (°C)	Mean % recovery	RSD (%)
Be/MCE filter (n=3)	23°	93	7.3
Be/cellulosic wipe (n=3)	23°	95	4.2
BeO/MCE filter (n=15)	23°	86	5.9
BeO/MCE filter (n=6)	85°	99	7.7
BeO/cellulosic wipe (n=15)	23°	82	5.6
BeO/cellulosic wipe (n=6)	85°	96	6.2
BeO/PVA wipe (n=5)	90°	99	2.5

K. Ashley et al., *Anal. Chim. Acta* (2007)

Trace BeO measurement: (NH₄)HF₂ extraction – HBQS fluorescence method (cellulosic filters & wipes)

Spike level, µg Be (as BeO; 4 labs)	Mean, µg Be (MCE; Wipe)	RSD (%) (MCE; Wipe)
Blank media	0.0001; 0.0004	---
0.002 (<< PEL)	0.0023; 0.0025	13; 19
0.005 (< 15-min STEL)	0.0052; 0.0056	2.3; 6.3
0.020 (≈ STEL)	0.0210; 0.0209	2.6; 2.3
0.050 (< 8-hr TWA)	0.0504; 0.0507	2.8; 2.6


K. Ashley et al., *Anal. Chim. Acta* (2007)

**Interlab trace BeO measurement in alcohol (PVA) wipes (8 labs):
1% aq. (NH₄)HF₂ extraction – HBQS fluorescence method
(ASTM D7202)**

Certified Be level, µg (as BeO) ± SD	Interlab Mean (µg Be) ± SD: Dry; Wetted*	Mean Recovery ± RSD (%): Dry; Wetted
<0.01 (media blank)	0.0025; 0.0085*	– (blank) –
0.030 ± 0.005	0.0291 ± 0.0046; 0.0270* ± 0.0039	97.0 ± 15.8; 90.0 ± 14.4
0.16 ± 0.02	0.144 ± 0.016; 0.139* ± 0.012	90.0 ± 11.4; 86.9 ± 8.4
0.32 ± 0.02	0.295 ± 0.017; 0.287* ± 0.013	92.2 ± 5.7; 89.1 ± 4.6
1.8 ± 0.1	1.71 ± 0.08; 1.64* ± 0.13	95.0 ± 4.6; 91.1 ± 8.1
2.8 ± 0.1	2.76 ± 0.28; 2.61* ± 0.37	98.6 ± 10.3; 93.3 ± 14.1
5.6 ± 0.1	5.29 ± 0.54; 4.91* ± 0.24	94.5 ± 10.2; 87.7 ± 4.8

*(Corrected for H₂O content)

K. Ashley et al., *Anal. Methods* (2011)




**Dissolution of larger BeO particles:
3% (NH₄)HF₂ extraction (90°C, 4-5 h)
HBQS fluorescence Be measurement**

BeO particle size fraction (µm)	No sampling media (% Rec. ±SD)	MCE air filters (% Rec. ±SD)	Cellulosic wipes (% Rec. ±SD)	Cotton gloves (% Rec. ±SD)
<32	95 ± 5	92 ± 6	91 ± 5	111 ± 17
90-106	102 ± 12	100 ± 7	97 ± 4	98 ± 12
180-212	97 ± 5	92 ± 1	97 ± 4	90 ± 5

M. J. Goldcamp et al., *J. Occup. Environ. Hyg.* (2009)

CELLULOSIC CAPSULE INSERTS* – “SOLU-SERTS™”

*Mixed cellulose ester (MCE) filter with cellulose acetate dome
[CFC sampler]



(Courtesy of Antylia / Zefon International)

Analysis of beryllium spiked cellulosic filter cassettes using 3x dilution (ASTM D7202 protocol)

$\mu\text{g Be}$; ppb Be (n=3)	$\mu\text{g Be}$ measured (RSD)	ppb Be measured ($\pm\text{Std Dev}$)	Avg % Recovery
0.0000; 0.000	-0.0001 (25)	-0.004 (± 0.0025)	---
0.0005; 0.033	0.0005 (7.2)	0.033 (± 0.0024)	100
0.001; 0.067	0.0009 (2.8)	0.061 (± 0.0017)	91.0
0.002; 0.133	0.0020 (5.4)	0.133 (± 0.0071)	100
0.005; 0.333	0.0045 (1.4)	0.301 (± 0.0042)	90.3
0.050; 3.334	0.0456 (2.2)	3.043 (± 0.0675)	91.3
0.480; 32.00	0.448 (0.87)	29.87 (± 0.26)	93.3

L. Adams et al., *Int. J. Environ. Anal. Chem.* (2017)

Addressing interference of Fe (10,000x excess) using filtration through hydrophilic polypropylene filters

% NH_4HF_2 (aqueous, w/w):	1%		3%	
Dilution factor (HBQS dye):	20×	5×	20×	5×
Relative fluorescence intensity, no Fe	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Relative fluorescence intensity (Fe present), filtered immediately	99.9	100.1	97.1	100.9
Relative fluorescence intensity (Fe present), filtered after 2 hr	99.9	102.2	100.7	99.8

L. Adams et al., *Int. J. Environ. Anal. Chem.* (2017)

DISPOSABLE INHALABLE SAMPLER (DIS)

- Based on IOM design, but incorporates many improvements
- ENTIRE UNIT disposable
- Pre-weighed PVC filter + PVC capsule for gravimetric analysis,
OR
- Digestible MCE filter + cellulose capsule for metals analysis
- Also, modification available for within-cassette dissolution
- And foams for multi-fraction (Respirable & Inhalable) sampling




[Courtesy of Antylia / Zefon Int'l]

DISPOSABLE INHALABLE SAMPLER [DIS] (U. UTAH / ZEFON STUDY: D. SLEETH / M. HARPER)



ASTM D8208: STANDARD PRACTICE FOR COLLECTION OF NON-FIBROUS NANOPARTICLES USING A NANOPARTICLE RESPIRATORY DEPOSITION (NRD) SAMPLER




L. G. Cena et al., *J. Occup. Environ. Hyg.* (2014)

TRACE BERYLLIUM BY $(\text{NH}_4)\text{HF}_2$ EXTRACTION & HBQS FLUORESCENCE MEASUREMENT: NANOPARTICLE SAMPLER (NYLON FILTERS)

Be mass, μg	[Be], ppb	Mean recovery ($\pm$ Std dev; n=3)
0.001	0.01	97.3 ($\pm$ 0.4)
0.002	0.02	99.4 ($\pm$ 0.3)
0.005	0.05	99.3 ($\pm$ 0.3)
0.02	0.2	99.8 ($\pm$ 0.2)
0.05	0.5	100.2 ($\pm$ 0.1)

Raw fluorescence signal vs. [Be], ppb



FLUORESCENCE MEASUREMENT OF BERYLLIUM IN GEOLOGIC MEDIA *

Reference material / source country	Reference [Be], $\mu\text{g/g}$	Measured [Be], $\mu\text{g/g}$
Basalt (Japan)	0.27 (± 0.04)	0.31 (± 0.02)
Sediment (USA)	1.6 (± 0.3)	2.37 (± 0.05)
Andesite (Japan)	2.05 (± 0.44)	2.11 (± 0.02)
Soil (Canada)	2.4	2.53 (± 0.03)
Soil (USA)	2.5 (± 0.07)	3.35 (± 0.10)
Sediment (USA)	3	3.50 (± 0.06)
Rhyolite (Japan)	7.6 (± 0.83)	7.1 (± 0.18)
Coal fly ash (USA)	12.1	12.85 (± 0.36)
Syenite (Canada)	22	21.35 (± 0.60)

*0.5 g samples; 50 mL 3% $(\text{NH}_4)\text{HF}_2$ extraction solution (90 °C, 40 h)

A. Agrawal et al., *Environ. Sci. Technol.* (2008)

FLUORESCENCE MEASUREMENT OF BERYLLIUM IN SOIL – INTERLAB STUDY (N=6) (ASTM D7458)

[Be] ref. value, $\mu\text{g/g}$	Mean reported [Be] ($\pm\text{SD}$), $\mu\text{g/g}$	Interlaboratory RSD (%)	Estimated bias
2.4 (unspiked)	2.43 (± 0.22)	8.9	+0.01
4.36*	5.13 (± 0.53)	10	+0.18
11.5*	12.4 (± 0.6)	4.8	+0.08
124*	126 (± 9)	7.1	+0.02
246*	234 (± 16)	6.9	-0.05

*Canadian CRM soil spiked with high-fired BeO
(Values in red $\rightarrow$ anthropogenic contamination)

J. P. Cronin et al., *J. Environ. Monit.* (2008)

U.S. NIOSH methods for determining ultra-trace beryllium in air & wipes

NIOSH Manual of Analytical Methods 5th ed.:

www.cdc.gov/niosh/nmam

- Method No. 7704, Be in Workplace Air by Fluorescence
- Method No. 9110, Be in Surface Wipes by Fluorescence
 - ▶ Both entail NH_4HF_2 extraction / HBQS fluorescence detection



International consensus standards – Be ultra-trace fluorescence analysis

ASTM International (www.astm.org)

ASTM D7202: Beryllium in workplace samples by NH_4HF_2 extraction and fluorescence detection

→ Covers both air & surface wipe samples



Also:

ASTM D7458: Beryllium in soil samples by NH_4HF_2 extraction & fluorescence detection

Summary: Trace Be fluorescence analysis with HBQS after NH_4HF_2 extraction – Sample types validated

Occupational air samples

→ Cellulosic inserts & DIS for air sampling

Surface wipe samples

Microvacuum samples

Cotton gloves

Soil samples

High-fired (calcined) BeO

Nanoparticles



COMMERCIAL USE OF BE-HBQS FLUORESCENCE METHOD

US DOE Laboratories: CA, IA, ID, NM, NV, SC, TN, WA

US Army Corp of Engineers, NY/OH


Materion, USA

INRS, France

JET, United Kingdom

Max Planck Institute, Germany

EPS, Spain



- Recognized by AIHA-LAP as accredited method;
AIHA-PAT: beryllium proficiency testing program

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

ASTM International

ASTM Committee D22 on Air Quality

ASTM Interlaboratory Studies program

High-Purity Standards – Charleston, SC (USA)

Materion – Tucson, AZ (USA) (formerly Brush Wellman Ceramics)

Dr Martin Harper – *University of Florida (formerly with Antylia / Zefon)*

Prof Darrah Sleeth – *University of Utah*

Gary Griffin, Linda Youmans, Mike Brisson, Roland Chretien – *Beryllium Health & Safety Committee (BHSC)*

CONTACT INFORMATION


Kevin Ashley, PhD, FASTM
Ashley Analytical Associates, LLC
1921 W Eagle Way
Amado, AZ 85645 (USA)
+1(513)708-0253
keadash4@live.com
se habla español



Drs John Cronin & Anoop Agrawal
Berylliant, Inc.
6595 N Oracle Road, Suite 153A
Tucson, AZ 85704 (USA)
+1(520)665-9229
info@berylliant.com




pH effect on fluorescence signal at 480 nm (with & without beryllium)



L. Adams et al., *Int. J. Environ. Anal. Chem.* (2017)

Effect of ammonium bifluoride strength on fluorescence of HBQS solution + 4 ppb beryllium



L. Adams et al., *Int. J. Environ. Anal. Chem.* (2017)

Session 3: Beryllium in Molten Salts and More Health & Safety

New Beryllium-Contamination Testing Method

A. Goraieb (KBHF, Germany) et al.

New Beryllium-Contamination Testing Method

Aniceto Goraieb¹, Benjamin Fretz¹, Engin Cilinger¹, Ramil Gaisin², and Pavel Vladimirov²

¹Karlsruhe Beryllium Handling Facility (KBHF), Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen, Germany

²Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen, Germany

Beryllium is one of the poisonous metals, the concentration of which in air and dust is strictly limited. When working with beryllium, a planned air intake through the filter and wiping tests are usually carried out. The disadvantages of these methods are the insufficient frequency of control and the danger to personnel in case of contamination. In addition, these methods cannot determine exactly where the beryllium contamination has occurred in order to close and clean the particular facility.

This work proposes an automated method for the continuous monitoring of the beryllium content without danger to personnel. The method consists in the analysis of air and surface along a given route by a specially designed robot in facilities where work with beryllium is carried out.

After each analysis of the surface around the potentially beryllium-contaminated area, the robot returns to the base to transmit the analyzed test. After collecting the material, the beryllium content is determined for each test. In case of detection of contamination, personnel are not allowed to work, and the facility is cleaned automatically. The possibilities of implementing such an analysis using various methods for the detection of beryllium are discussed.

Corresponding Author:

Mr. Benjamin Fretz

fretz@versuchstechnik.de

Karlsruhe Beryllium Handling Facility (KBHF GmbH)

Hermann-von-Helmholtz-Platz 1

D-76344 Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen

GERMANY



BeYOND-IX 2022
9th Industrial Forum on Beryllium Opportunities &
New Developments, Karlsruhe

New Be-contamination testing method

Aniceto Goraieb, Benjamin Fretz, Engin Cilinger

Karlsruhe Beryllium Handling Facility

Ramil Gaisin, Pavel Vladimirov

Institute for Applied Materials - Applied Materials Physics, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology



KIT – The Research University in the Helmholtz Association


www.kit.edu

Necessary Beryllium handling safety



Beryllium processing usually starts from powder

Working with Beryllium since 1991




Material Testing on Beryllium Pebble Beds, Material Design (Beryllides), Production Research & Safety

Therefore, contamination is a common risk

Usual and ...

- Building with ventilation, airflow about 10 times an hour
- Lower pressure (- 5 Pa) in the building to save the environment
- Emergency generator
- Particle filtering units
- Inventory that can easily be decontaminated





FLiBe: Breeder for Fusion & Fission, candidate for melt-based x-ray lenses & high-term solar systems



Letters of intent from UC Berkeley and SSLS brought us to FLiBe

20 years ago, building up FLiBe experimental sample production



3 16.09.2022 Aniceto Goraieb

GVt/KBHF

FLiBe: Some unique properties, advantages & disadvantages for Fusion applications



- ↓ Molten salts are very hygroscopic and therefore FLiBe forms hydrofluoric acid
- ↑ In case of an accident, it freezes in contrast to liquid metal breeder like Li-Pb
- ↓ During remelting it shrinks and can cause damage of the containment
- ➡ It has a very high surface tension and covers a surface even against gravity
- ↑ This effect *plus no MHD* can be useful for liquid walls in compact Fusion device
- ➡ Graphite or maybe SiC (to be tested) could work as containment (Ni not Fusion)
- ↑ Nearly no vapor pressure at 900 °C under vacuum conditions (LW & HT-Fission)
- ↑ For Fission application it can be mixed with other fluorides like UF, ThF, PuF
- ↑ Fluorides can be used in recycling processes or for enrichment

4 16.09.2022 Aniceto Goraieb

GVt/KBHF

Looking for a simple way for Beryllide (small scale) sample production Be-Ti; Be-Zr; Be-V; Be-Cr; Be-W



Hot extrusion wastes a lot of
material because of the canning




HIP would be an option but only
for near to endshape samples



5 16.09.2022 Aniceto Goraieb

GVT/KBHF



Selective laser melting of TiBe_{12}



6 16.09.2022 Aniceto Goraieb

GVT/KBHF

Selective laser melting of TiBe_{12}



Melting of titanium beryllide powder on the surface of titanium beryllide


7 16.09.2022 Aniceto Goraieb

GVt/KBHF


Selective laser melting of TiBe_{12}



Cracking



Shrinkage





Melting of titanium beryllide during SLM leads to the formation of casting defects

8 16.09.2022 Aniceto Goraieb

GVt/KBHF

Wire based 3D printing

Stainless steel filament



Density = 4.944 g/cm³

9 16.09.2022 Aniceto Goraieb

GVT/KBHF

Wire based 3D printing of beryllides



TiBe₁₂ powder




ABS
thermoplastic
polymer




Filament




FDM printing



Binder
dissolution



Sintering



HIP?

10 16.09.2022 Aniceto Goraieb

GVT/KBHF

FDM-Printing could take place even outside of the Beryllium safety area



Powder handling and Filament production under wet conditions



11 16.09.2022 Aniceto Goraieb

Binder dissolution and sintering takes place in Be-safety area, again



GVT/KBHF

New Be-contamination testing method



- Real-time measurement is not simple; Beryllium is just metal, no radiation
- The next step down would be frequently but fully automated systems
- Robotic wiping tests and air sampling could be an option but are expensive
- How could an existing, available and cheap system help to find a solution?
- Cleaning robots are common these days, even with self-cleaning function
- We have used a simple version of these machines already for a longer time
- Our intention is to combine it with the right Beryllium (Metal) detection method

We can offer the test area and are looking for partnerships, now!

12 16.09.2022 Aniceto Goraieb

GVT/KBHF

Thank you for your attention!

Commercial Challenges and Opportunities Associated with FLiBe for Fusion Energy

R. Pearson (Kyoto Fusioneering, UK & Japan) et al.

Commercial Challenges Associated with FLiBe for Fusion Energy

Richard Pearson^{1,2}, Keisuke Mukai^{2,3}, Colin Baus^{2,3}, Andrew Wilson¹, Andrea D'Angio¹,
Shutaro Takeda², Satoshi Konishi^{2,3}, and Juro Yagi³

¹*Kyoto Fusioneering UK, Reading, United Kingdom*

²*Kyoto Fusioneering, Uji-City, Kyoto, Japan*

³*Institute of Advanced Energy, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan*

FLiBe is a low-TRL material that is of interest for use as a coolant and breeding material for use in blanket designs for future commercial fusion reactors. FLiBe is potentially highly attractive, due to features including low pressure operation, avoidance of MHD effects (as is experienced in liquid metal coolants in the presence of high magnetic fields), and due to relatively high TBR at low lithium enrichment.

However, FLiBe is expensive to manufacture, in part due to the fact that beryllium is expensive, and the resource has limited availability. In this study, the supply chain and manufacturability of FLiBe are analysed. Other challenges such as tritium extraction, purification, and material compatibility will be addressed, with reference to ongoing research using a new FLiBe loop developed by Kyoto Fusioneering through collaboration with Kyoto University.

Use of FLiBe in private industry for breeding blankets and other areas is discussed in regard to a necessary scaling of the supply chain for commercial applications in the near-term. Finally, the potential of FLiBe for power applications, including for high-efficiency electricity generation via Brayton cycles as well as non-electricity applications (such as energy storage) are considered and analysed.

Corresponding Author:

Dr. Richard Pearson

r.pearson@kyotofusioneering.com

Kyoto Fusioneering UK

200 Brook Drive

Reading, Berkshire RG2 6UB

UNITED KINGDOM



Commercial Challenges and Opportunities Associated with FLiBe for Fusion Energy

Joint 15th IEA International Workshop on Beryllium Technology (BeWS-15) and BeYOND-IX

R. Pearson, J. Mund, C. Baus, A. Wilson, A. D'Angio', S. Takeda, S. Konishi, J. Yagi, P. Barron, K. Mukai.

Karlsruhe, Germany | 16 September 2022

FUSION for
the **FUTURE.**

©2022 KYOTO FUSIONEERING LTD. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

1

Contents

- About Kyoto Fusionneering
- Introduction to FLiBe for fusion
- Challenges & opportunities for use of FLiBe in fusion
 - Materials compatibility
 - Tritium breeding performance
 - Tritium extraction
 - FLiBe chemistry (purification)
 - Availability & supply of Be for FLiBe
 - FLiBe as a heat transfer fluid (power cycles & commercial applications)
- Current FLiBe activity at KF
- Summary

©2022 KYOTO FUSIONEERING LTD. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

2



Mission Statement:

To accelerate the development of high performance, commercially viable reactor technologies associated with power generation and the fuel cycle to support the rapid expansion of the budding fusion industry

Company Profile



Established: October 2019

Funding (VC): ¥2.1B JPY (~\$17M USD)

Inv



Locations: Kyoto (laboratory)
Tokyo (business HQ)
Reading (UK HQ)
US location by end 2022 (TBD)

Company size: 55+ staff (incl. part-time)
Currently hiring in JP & UK




Kyoto Fusioneering's Business Model




Kyoto Fusioneering is like  during the Gold Rush: focused on developing *critical path* reactor technologies required for the overall success of the fusion industry.

Fusion developers around the world are working on power-producing plasmas & related technologies



Kyoto Fusioneering is focused on reactor technologies and engineering

FOR PUBLIC RELEASE 6



Introduction: a brief history of FLiBe



Who first made/discovered FLiBe?

- FLiBe is a molten salt, with a 2:1 mixture ($2\text{LiF}-\text{BeF}_2$) and a melting point 457°C .
- FLiBe was first used in a **Molten Salt Reactor Experiment (MSRE)** at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory which ran from 1965-1969, but the first use of molten salts in general was 10 years earlier in the **Aircraft Reactor Experiment (ARE)** also run by ORNL (now stationed at INL).
- HYLIFE - One of the earliest applications of FLiBe in fusion design developed by LLNL supported by the US Department for Energy ([Moir et al., 1993](#))


What are the applications/use of FLiBe to date? (see: [Cadwallader et al., 1999](#))

1. In **advanced fission reactor** (LFTRs and MSRs) where FLiBe is expected to act as a moderator, coolant and solvent for fissile material.
2. Molten salts have generally been used as **heat transfer fluids** and as a **thermal storage medium**. However, FLiBe is not currently used industrially for this.
3. In **fusion power plant concepts** as an advanced tritium breeder-coolant, or as a renewable surface for interfacing with plasma in high plasma-flux regions in MFE designs, and as a shield from neutrons and hydrodynamic blast in IFE designs.

©2022 KYOTO FUSIONEERING LTD. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.



Heat Transfer Reactor Experiment (HTRE-2 and HTRE-3) at Idaho National Lab. Credit: Richard Pearson. Photos taken October 2017.




9

Why is FLiBe being considered for fusion?



- **Strong tritium breeding performance:** good neutronics properties for breeding, as FLiBe has both a high lithium and beryllium content.
- **Thermal performance:** Good thermal-hydraulic properties and high thermal efficiency (very high specific heat).
- **Liquid form:** can be circulated for simultaneous tritium breeding and heat extraction (self-cooled concepts), and does not get damaged as with solid breeders (general benefit of liquid breeders).
- **Passive/inherent safety:** unpressurised media, low reactivity with air and water, and turns into salt on freezing.
- **Low chemical reactivity from safety perspective:** chemical reactivity with air and water, whilst low tritium solubility/retention may allow easy tritium extraction (but also increases permeation).
- **High temperature for efficient power cycle:** relatively low melting point, high boiling point and low vapor pressures at relatively high operating temperatures ($650-700^\circ\text{C}$); high temperature increases thermal efficiency.
- **Potential to avoid MHD effects:** low electrical conductivity and low magnetohydrodynamic resistance which is compatible with high magnetic fields in MCF reactors.
- **Neutron shielding:** A liquid blanket is needed for highly efficient neutron shielding with high plasma fusion power densities (beneficial as they improve long-term economic viability).



Source: Oak Ridge National Laboratory

©2022 KYOTO FUSIONEERING LTD. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.


([Abdou et al., 2015](#); [Ferry et al., 2022](#); [Sorbom et al., 2015](#); [Forsberg et al., 2019](#))

10

Comparison with other liquid breeders for fusion



- High lithium content allows high tritium breeding ratio (TBR)
- Li-6 enrichment not necessary to achieve $TBR > 1$; if needed, Li-6 enrichment increases TBR by ~ 0.1
- Sufficiently low melting point
- Excellent heat transfer properties



Coolant	Supply	Melting point (°C)	Density (g/cm ³)	Li content (g/cm ³)	Specific heat (kJ/m ³ K)	Tritium solubility (mol% at 1 Pa)
Li (400°C)	ok	181	0.5	0.5	2120	3×10^{-2}
LiPb (400°C)	ok	235	9.5	0.065	1796	2×10^{-8}
FLiBe (650°C)	supply and resource constraint	459	1.92	0.269	4670	2×10^{-11}


©2022 KYOTO FUSIONEERING LTD. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

11

Notable projects & concepts that use FLiBe in fusion



- LIBRA (MIT)
 - ARPA-E supported experiment to study T breeding potential in a large volume of FLiBe & improve T accountancy.
 - Be neutron multiplier in FLiBe allows the reactor to be much smaller than if an alternative such as FLiNaK or LiPb were to be used (see graph on RHS) (Ferry et al., 2022).
- JUPITER-II project (JP-US)
 - Started 2001 to explore key feasibility issues related to the use of FLiBe such as corrosion of structural materials, tritium control and safe handling of FLiBe (Petti et al., 2005).
- ARC reactor (MIT & Commonwealth Fusion Systems)
 - Liquid immersion concept developed by MIT and envisioned to be built by Commonwealth Fusion Systems (Bocci et al., 2020; Sorbom et al., 2015).
- FFHR reactor (Japanese Fusion-Fission Hybrid Reactor)
 - Stellarator concept developed by JP research group (Fukada, 2013; Sagara et al., 2000; Farmer, 2007).



Study on FLiBe TBR performance on the LIBRA concept: Ferry et al., 2022

©2022 KYOTO FUSIONEERING LTD. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.


12



FLiBe compatible materials

- A major challenge in using FLiBe is the **compatibility of structural materials** with molten fluorides.
- Salt impurities and metallic fluorides dispersed in the FLiBe determines which compound will ultimately form (thermodynamics) ([Forsberg et al., 2017](#)):


Free energy of formation



High(er) corrosion
corrosion

- Trade-off on manufacturability if using pure Ni, Mo, W, so candidate structural materials are commercially available **Ni-Mo-based alloys** (i.e. Hastelloy, Inconel) with low Cr content.
- Chromium is leached from the structural material, making SS a less attractive option ([Zheng et al., 2015](#)).
- Non-metallic solutions may be plausible, e.g. **SiCf/SiC**, but effect of oxygen, metallic impurities from the matrix or other segment of the blanket/loops and fluorides that can be silicised require attention.

Low(er)



Weight loss and corrosion rate of structural materials in FLiBe [Ferry et al., 2022](#).

FLiBe compatible materials: areas of development and prospects



Material development

- Structural material composition: **novel Ni-Mo alloys incorporating additives** (e.g. Y), and **computationally-guided alloy design** has generated novel Ni-based alloys with improved mechanical properties and FLiBe corrosion resistance ([Muralidharan et al., 2022](#)).
- SiCf/SiC and CVD-SiC are different materials: **effects of matrix composition for NITE SiCf/SiC and manufacturing approach** (pores in CVI SiCf/SiC) ([Wang et al., 2017](#)).
- REDOX agents: **Adding Be metal to FLiBe inhibits corrosion in SS316** by lowering oxidation potential, at the expense of introducing intermetallic compounds which may reduce mechanical performance ([Keiser et al., 2022](#)).
- **Use of dissimilar materials interacting with FLiBe affects corrosion resistance** from upstream to downstream.
- **Transmutation and long-lived radionuclides.**
- **Variable experimental setup and conditions** (material crucibles, flow conditions etc.).

Representative environment

- Effect of **molten salt flow rate on the corrosion rate.**
- Effect of **thermal gradient**: mass gain in some areas with potential obstruction of pipelines.
- **Corrosion performance post-irradiation.**

Equipment

- Online and offline purification of FLiBe or redox controller to prevent corrosion.
- Diagnostic tools to monitor FLiBe composition and corrosion.


©2022 KYOTO FUSIONEERING LTD. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

15

Tritium Extraction



- Tritium partial pressure *higher* than LiPb and *much higher* than Li due to low solubility of T.
- Challenge lies in redox. Be can be added as redox agent:
 - $TF \rightarrow T_2 \Rightarrow$ increase equilibrium pressure above FLiBe by about 200 times $\Rightarrow$ easy gas purge
- Key methods for tritium extraction:



■ NB: Rate of extraction for all options is typically determined by surface area and turbulence of fluid

- **Vacuum Sieve Tray** and **Vacuum Disengager** - hot FLiBe salt is sent to a vacuum tower where spray nozzles create small droplets. Tritium diffuses out of the droplets as they fall and is removed by vacuum pumps ([Dolan 1992](#)).
- **Gas Sparging** - inert gas is bubbled through the salt combined with sonochemistry ([Rubio et al., 2016](#)).
- **Counter-current He Tower** - inert metal packing, desorption at surface ([Fukada et al., 2012](#)).
- **Solid Adsorbers** - a particle bed of a solid adsorber removes tritium from FLiBe and then desorbed via heating ([Forsberg et al., 2019](#)).
- **Permeation membranes** - wall with high permeability to tritium (e.g. vanadium) ([Reiter et al., 1989](#)).

©2022 KYOTO FUSIONEERING LTD. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

16

FLiBe Purification



- Impurities in FLiBe come from initial ingots, metal pipes, and transmutation.
- Purification is necessary to control corrosion and reactivity.
- Several impurities contained, even after filtering (see table).
 - For FHR, 53 impurities have been identified ([Seifried et al., 2019](#)).
 - H₂O limit is at 1000 ppm for MSRE (as water is a strong moderator).
- Methods of purification (several needed to remove all types) ([Forsberg 2019](#); [Seifried 2019](#))
 - Fluorination with HF to remove fluoride impurities - proven in production of FLiBe.
 - Control redox by the ratio of hydrogen to HF to precipitate most remaining impurities - proven in production of FLiBe.
 - Filter out any metal particulates - proven in production of FLiBe.
 - High-performance, high-temperature distillation columns of molybdenum or other materials (high-capacity, low-cost, no-additive).
 - Exposure of the salt to an active metal to consume oxidizing impurities.

Typical impurities in FLiBe [Petti 2006](#) (also see [Bocci et al., 2020](#)).

Impurity	ppm
O	560
Fe	260
N	32
Cr	16
Ni	15
C	10
H ₂ O	high?

©2022 KYOTO FUSIONEERING LTD. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

17

FLiBe as a heat transfer fluid



- Molten salts - particularly FLiBe - were **proposed and used as a coolant** (and as a fuel medium) for fission reactors in the 1960s:
 - They are able to **operate at atmospheric pressure** (unlike water and gases)
 - They are **transparent** and **do not react with air and water** (unlike some liquid metal coolants)
 - They have a **considerable operating temperature range** (FLiBe: ~460-1400°C)
 - They are **capable of dissolving nuclear fuel** in the form of uranium or thorium fluorides; remains far from commercialisation (see: [Kairos Power](#))
- Molten salts have also been **suggested as an energy storage medium** (particularly for solar thermal) due to their substantial volumetric heat capacity:
 - Intended use as part of a **sensible heat thermal storage system**, where the **storage medium** is used to **store heat without a phase change**.
 - They have lower energy density than latent heat (phase change) and thermochemical (chemical conversion) energy storage options, although these options are more complex and further from commercialisation
 - FLiBe has **exceptionally high volumetric heat capacity**, which sets it apart from other molten salts.
 - Energy storage will play an important role to convert the pulsed heat generation of a fusion plant into more continuous or dispatchable electricity generation. Molten salt is unlikely to be the sole energy storage medium, but its operating temperature range makes it highly compatible with other media.

©2022 KYOTO FUSIONEERING LTD. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

[Romatoski & Hu, 2017](#); [Barqi et al., 2022](#); [Forsberg et al., 2019](#)

18

FLiBe as a heat transfer fluid



- Both applications (as either a coolant or energy storage medium) require that FLiBe be coupled to a power conversion cycle.
- Compatibility and performance depends on temperature of the molten salt.
- Some indicative data on candidate molten salts:

Salt	Melting point (°C)	Boiling point (°C)	Volumetric heat capacity (kJ/m ³ °C)
Li ₂ BeF ₄ (FLiBe)	459	1,430	4,540
LiF-NaF-KF (FLiNaK)	454	1,570	3,700
0.58NaF-0.42ZrF ₄	500	1,290	3,670
0.42LiF-0.29NaF0.29ZrF ₄	460	1,350	3,978

- Whilst all of these molten salts are *potentially compatible* with a Brayton cycle, FLiBe's high boiling point will allow for the greatest cycle efficiency (alongside FLiNaK).
 - Molten salt heat transfer capabilities are well suited to very high temperature applications (exceeding 1000°C), so their thermal capabilities are not fully used by most fusion designs. FLiBe's breeding capabilities is its more important characteristic for fusion.

©2022 KYOTO FUSION ENGINEERING LTD. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

Serrano-Lopez et al., 2013; Bahri et al., 2017; Peterson et al., 2003; Romatoski & Hu, 2017

19

Beryllium: Resources, reserves, and production



- Geology**
 - Due to complex geological processes and very specific conditions, beryllium containing ores in any mineable concentration (beryl or bertrandite) are formed in very specific geographical regions.
- Abundance**
 - 2.1 ppm on Earth (Trueman & Sabey, 2014)
 - Economically mineable deposits are the issue: Be occurs in low concentrations (e.g. world's largest mine in Utah has 0.7% BeO content).
 - Not economically extractable from seawater (0.00006 ppm)
- Resources & Reserves**
 - Global resources estimated at 100,000t (USGS, 2022)
 - Real quantity may be several times higher: possibly as high as 485,000t (Dombrowski, 1996).
 - Utah mine world's largest (Materion Corp.) contains an estimated: 20,000t contained Be.
- Global Production of Primary Beryllium (260t in 2021)**
 - USA: 170t (65% - Materion Corp.)
 - China: 70t (27% - Ningxia & others)
 - Others: 20t (8% - Lithuanian Kazakhstan)




Surface mining of bertrandite ore in Utah.

©2022 KYOTO FUSION ENGINEERING LTD. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

20

Global Beryllium Deposits (Grade/Concentration vs. Size/Tonnage)



Estimates of grade and tonnage for a variety of beryllium deposits, districts, and belts in the United States and worldwide that have potential for minable beryllium resources. Diagonal lines show equal value of contained metal in metric tons. Available data for deposits of these types are sparse; some deposit information was compiled using incompletely documented past-production, reserve, and resource information. For additional information, see [Trueman & Sabey, 2014](#).

©2022 KYOTO FUSIONEEING LTD. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

21

Comparison of solid Be vs. FLiBe in fusion (1): solid Be

- **Cost & required quantities:**


- o Cost of manufacturing solid Be compounds is very high.
- o High cost suggests use of small quantities of solid Be.
- o Small quantities of Be can be used as a "TBR booster" (Pearson et al., 2022 [in press]; Wong et al., 2013; Sagara et al., 2000).

- **Supply & production capacity:**

- For use of Be metal or high Be-content intermetallic compounds, **fusion will be the only customer**, and Be demand will skyrocket:
 - *Current forms of material (e.g. 1mm-diameter pebbles) are not produced in quantities that can be considered as a standard commercial product.*
- Large increase in production capacity needed.

- Using solid Be compounds for fusion:

- Recent internal KF study asked: **how much is an "acceptable" amount of beryllium per fusion reactor, from the perspective of availability, supply, cost and market complexity?**
- Modelling indicated **in the order of 10t solid Be compounds per reactor** would be an acceptable quantity.



Green line shows impact of a Be “booster” layer to increase TBR in a self-cooled blanket (Pearson et al., 2022 [in press])

©2022 KYOTO FUSIONEERING LTD. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

22

Comparison of solid Be vs. FLiBe in fusion (2): FLiBe



● FLiBe cost & required quantities:

- Manufacturing FLiBe has potential to be substantially lower cost than the process for solid Be compounds.
- But if FLiBe is used as a breeder for fusion, larger quantities will be needed as it is not a viable choice as a "booster".
- In the order of ~hundreds of tons contained Be (~thousands of tons of FLiBe) will be required per fusion reactor.
 - ARC concept requires ~350t contained Be per GWe reactor if used in the blanket ([Sorbom et al., 2015](#)).



Commissioning of the FLiBe production facility by Materion, Ohio, USA. Source: [Power Mag](#).

● Supply & production capacity:

- Limited existing supply chain for FLiBe.
- There is potential synergy with fission & energy storage technology industries.
 - Actively being considered as a fluid useful for GenIV fission power, as illustrated by the strategic partnership between Materion and Kairos Power to build a dedicated FLiBe production plant on Materion's site in Ohio (now operational - refer to Keith Smith's talk).

©2022 KYOTO FUSIONEERING LTD. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

23

Modelling commercial rollout of fusion against Be resource availability



Availability of beryllium resource could be a major issue for the commercial rollout of fusion, as it could limit the maximum capacity of fusion reactors to be installed on the grid.

Assuming approximately 350t Be (contained in FLiBe*) per GWe (~170t Be/GWth in ARC concept using FLiBe [Sorbom et al., 2015](#)):

*Be is 9.11% of total mass of FLiBe, formula: $2\text{LiF} \cdot \text{BeF}_2$

Scenario	No. fusion plants installed on grid (GWe)	Quantity of Beryllium required (t)	Percentage of known Be resources (2022: 100,000 t)	Comments
FOAK power plant	1	350	0.35%	Uses one year's worth of annual Be supply, but unproblematic from a resource perspective.
First 100 power plants	100	35,000	35%	Exhausts current stated economical reserves at Spor Mountain, UT, almost two times over. First 100 fusion plants using FLiBe likely to be viable if supply capacity scaled (notwithstanding other issues)
Fusion matches current fission installed capacity	400	140,000	140%	Uses up entirety of known total resource base.
Fusion is transformative	5000	1,750,000	1,750%	17.5 times known total resource base. Exhausts beryllium supply many times over, even if the higher resource base estimate of 475,000t is true.

©2022 KYOTO FUSIONEERING LTD. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

24

Modelling commercial rollout of fusion against Be supply capacity



Production (supply capacity) of FLiBe is a bottleneck for fusion commercial rollout.

Again assuming approximately 350t Be (contained in FLiBe) per GWe ([Sorbom et al. 2015](#)):

Scenario	Approximate build rate of fusion plants installed on grid (GWe/yr)	Beryllium required per year (tpy)	Proportion of current annual beryllium supply capacity: to 350 tpy	Comments
Fusion has a minor impact on society (single or small no. of nations, or for niche applications only)	10	3,500	10 x	If Beryllium supply capacity is expanded 10-fold, then it will be sufficient for fusion have a minor impact on society
Rollout of fusion sufficient to be transformative for electricity generation, globally.	50	17,500	50 x	50-fold capacity increase in supply capacity is needed, but even then is insufficient for fusion to be transformative for electricity generation. Note that 17,500 tpy is equivalent to mining almost all known reserve at Spor Mountain deposit, but every year.
Rollout of fusion sufficient to be transformative for primary energy, globally.	200	70,000	200 x	Capacity would need to be increased 200-fold, and an amount of beryllium equivalent to 70% of current known resources would need to be mined per year.

©2022 KYOTO FUSIONEERING LTD. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

25

Beryllium availability & supply: Future market aspects



If fusion developers require beryllium for fusion, then the following must be considered:

- **Beryllium industry risk appetite**
 - Be industry must scale for what is effectively one customer: a monopsony. This situation will be "high risk" for the Be industry.
 - There is also a potential cliff-edge, in the case that there is a shift away from using FLiBe or Be products after a first generation of fusion reactors.
- **Who pays for it?**
 - The capital investments needed to scale Be supply will have to be justified to the industry, or it likely won't happen.
 - Unless government help can be found... the US military cost shared with Materion for a new facility to produce military-grade Be metal ([Materion, 2010](#)).
- **Geopolitical aspects**
 - Several nations supply primary Be in the world (USA, China and Kazakhstan). Be is a strategic material, and increasing supply drastically may not be straightforward from a strategic perspective. High concentration grades of beryllium are export controlled.
- **Fusion end-users must make a business decision on the form of beryllium: solid or FLiBe (or no beryllium at all?)**
 - FLiBe is less complex from a manufacturability point of view, but grand scale-up of beryllium mining and supply will be needed.
 - Solid Be is more complex and costly from a manufacturability point of view, but if used in small quantities looks better from a beryllium mining and supply perspective.
 - Other breeders may offer similar performance with fewer commercial (and technical) challenges.

©2022 KYOTO FUSIONEERING LTD. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

26

Current work on FLiBe at Kyoto Fusioneering



KF ongoing and future R&D



Purification (metal oxides): Conventional FLiBe purification process via Be addition

- HF bubbling: metal oxide $\Rightarrow$ metal fluoride
- H_2 addition, reduces: metal fluoride $\Rightarrow$ metal + HF
- Strong disadvantages:
 - Be concentration gradually increases.
 - Higher T_2 equilibrium pressure $\Rightarrow$ higher permeation.
 - Additional LiF feeding is necessary.
 - Continuous O removal is necessary.
- Proposed method (studied at KU): electrochemical purification using Li under potential control so it reacts only with TF.

Corrosion experiments

- SiCf/SiC in flow conditions.
- Low-nickel materials for high neutron flux environment.
- High-nickel materials for heat exchanger.




Above: FLiBe test loop benchtop experiment sited at Kyoto University (Inconel 600).



Below: melted FLiBe in a pot before purification.

©2022 KYOTO FUSIONEERING LTD. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

28



Summary



Some aspects of FLiBe look very attractive: In particular, as a breeder, FLiBe looks good from a **TBR performance, safety and thermal performance** perspective. There are **synergies with advanced fission**. It is potentially **cost effective** (especially compared with solid beryllium blankets, but probably not LiPb or Li), has a good temperature range to be coupled with a power cycle, and strong safety characteristics.

But, there are significant challenges and trade-offs:

- There are **limited options for FLiBe compatible materials**. Steels, silicon carbide and Ni-based alloys are among materials under exploration, but **compatibility is a significant challenge for FLiBe** (e.g. primary candidate Ni-based alloy not ideal for fusion due to waste concerns, high Ni content).
- **Lack of knowledge or experimental data on tritium retention, extraction and handling**. Experimental research needed to resolve, as well as **purification and redox control**.
- Using **FLiBe as a heat transfer fluid** for fusion **requires experimentation**; largely theoretical at this stage.
- **Beryllium resource is scarce, and supply capacity is limited**. For fusion use, FLiBe requires on the order of ~hundreds of tonnes per reactor. Issues with scale-up of supply associated with commercial risk, cost and geopolitics.

If FLiBe is to be considered as a realistic and useful breeder-coolant for fusion, we need to explore this interesting salt now. Alongside others, this is what Kyoto Fusioneering is doing.

Concluding remark...



The 2020s needs to be seen as the **age of fusion industrialisation**, and the investment of time, effort and capital in this decade is the foundation for **fusion commercialisation in the 2030s**

©2022 KYOTO FUSIONEERING LTD. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

31



ありがとうございます
(Thank You !)

Web: www.kyotofusioneering.com

Contact: r.pearson@kyotofusioneering.com

Twitter: @kyotofusioneer / @_RJPearson

LinkedIn: [linkedin.com/company/kyoto-fusioneering/](https://www.linkedin.com/company/kyoto-fusioneering/) / [linkedin.com/in/richard-pearson-65660861/](https://www.linkedin.com/in/richard-pearson-65660861/)

The Possible Toxicity of Beryllium Salts

M. McCawley (West Virginia University, U.S.A.) et al.

The Possible Toxicity of Beryllium Salts

Michael McCawley¹ and Kathryn Creek²

¹*West Virginia University, School of Public Health, Morgantown, West Virginia, U.S.A.*

²*Beryllium Solutions International LLC, Lourinha, Portugal*

Li_2BeF_4 (also known as “FLiBe”) is a primary candidate as a coolant for fluoride-salt-cooled, high-temperature reactors. As a beryllium salt, there is concern about exposure that might result in chronic beryllium disease, either from skin exposure or inhalation exposure of the FLiBe. Beryllium, in many of its various forms is predominantly insoluble, especially oxidized, or alloyed with other metals such as copper, nickel and aluminum. FLiBe, however, is soluble.

Past work on exposure to soluble forms of beryllium, such as beryllium hydroxide and beryllium fluoride in the mining of ore and the production of aluminum from beryllium-containing bauxite, respectively, seems to indicate, at most, very low rates of disease in exposed individuals. Exposures to beryllium in the form of soluble beryllium salts, while often in excess of those found in workplaces where soluble beryllium forms dominate, nevertheless have rates of new cases of chronic beryllium disease well below the rate of five percent seen for workforces exposed to insoluble forms.

A review of the epidemiologic literature is presented taking into account both dermal and inhalation routes of exposure. These two exposure routes appear to account for the observed rates of disease for insoluble species of beryllium and explain why the persistence of insoluble beryllium deposited in the lung may offer the best explanation for the observed rates of disease and the relative lack of disease for soluble beryllium. However, sensitization, the first step in the disease process, occurring dermally, may not be as diminished by the quick dissolution and elimination of the soluble compounds. This could present a hazard for workers whose jobs might eventually lead to exposure of the insoluble forms of beryllium.

Corresponding Author:

Dr. Michael McCawley
mamccawley@hsc.wvu.edu
West Virginia University
School of Public Health
P.O. Box 9190
Morgantown, West Virginia 26506
U.S.A.

The Possible Toxicity of Beryllium Salts

M. McCawley, West Virginia University
K. Creek, Beryllium Solutions International
BeYOND-IX Industrial Forum
16 September 2022

RATIONALE

- Beryllium (Be) health research has mostly centered around Be metal (oxides and alloys) exposure.
- Standards of under $0.2\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ have been suggested and adopted in the US as potentially protective.
- Be must be at least partially soluble to elicit a cellular response from the immune system.
- Despite this, highly soluble forms of Be should be expected to have less of an effect if their dissolution and excretion rate is sufficiently fast.
- Aluminum smelting operations are known to use beryllium containing bauxite in smelting operations.
- Workers in those operations have measurable exposure to beryllium fluoride salt produced from the contaminated bauxite from those limited sources.
- The solubility of Be has been recognized as a potential factor that may lessen risk.
- The US OSHA extracts water soluble Be from air sample filters and discards it before analysis.

EVIDENCE

3

Beryllium (Be) health research has mostly centered around Be metal (oxides and alloys) exposure.

4

	Beryllium Extraction Mine and Mill	Copper- Beryllium Alloy Finishing	Beryllium Ceramics Manufacturing
BeS + CBD			
Round 1	5/120 (4.2%)	10/144 (6.9%)	8/136 (5.9%)
Round 2	1/47 (2.3%)		8/77 (10.4%)
Cumulative	6.3%		15.7%
CBD			
Year opened	1969	1951	1980
Year summed	2009	2001	1999
CBD diagnoses	2	7	25
Person-years	11,513	13,129	10,698
CBD/10,000			
person years	1.7	5.3	23.4
Relative rate	1	3.1	13.5

Source: Deubner et al., 2011

5

Standards of under $0.2\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ have been suggested and adopted in the US as potentially protective.

6

Caution on the Airborne Exposure Limits for Beryllium from US DOE Data

“... we now believe that our $2\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ PEL does not adequately protect beryllium-exposed workers from developing chronic beryllium disease, and there are adequate exposure and health effects data to support this [DOE's] rulemaking.”


The letter continues by citing existing data:

“... **cases of chronic beryllium disease have occurred in machinists where 90 percent of the personal exposure samples found levels of beryllium to be below the detection limit of $0.01\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$.** ... Viewed from OSHA's regulatory perspective, these DOE study results document **risk of sensitization to beryllium of 35-40 per 1,000 workers and risk of chronic beryllium disease to machinists of 94 per 1,000.**”

Source: Michaels and Monforton, 2008

7

CBD Case Historical Exposure Measurements



Source: Cummings et al., 2009

8

Beryllium must be at least partially soluble to elicit a cellular response from the immune system. Despite this, highly soluble forms of Be should be expected to have less of an effect if their dissolution and excretion rate is sufficiently fast.


9

Aerosol [†]	Dissolution solvent	Dissolution components ($\pm$ S.E.) [‡]			
		I		II	
		A_1 (%)	$t_{1/2}$ (days)	A_2 (%)	$t_{1/2}$ (days)
Be metal	HCl	100	20 ± 0.2		
	SUF	100	5800 ± 300		
BeO—500°C	HCl	97 ± 1	1.6 ± 0.1	3 ± 1	12 ± 1
	SUF	8 ± 2	2.3 ± 1.1	92 ± 2	640 ± 550
BeO—1000°C	HCl	15 ± 5	2.0 ± 1.4	85 ± 5	40 ± 6
	SUF	5 ± 1	0.7 ± 0.3	95 ± 1	2100 ± 3100

Source: Finch et al., 1988

10

Dissolution Rates of Low Temperature- and High Temperature-Fired Be Particles in Lung




Source: Finch et al., 1988

11

Aluminum smelting operations are known to sometimes use beryllium-containing bauxite in smelting operations. Workers in those operations can have measurable exposure to beryllium fluoride salt produced from the contaminated bauxite from those limited sources.

12




* Smelting Grade Alumina

Source: Lindsay & Dobbs, 2006

14

Desorption of Beryllium from Soils




Source: Islam et al., ACS Omega 2021, 6, 30686–30697

15

The solubility of beryllium has been recognized as a potential factor that may lessen risk. The US OSHA extracts water-soluble Be from air sample filters and discards it before analysis.

16

Beryllium Exposure to Aluminum Smelter Workers



Source: Taiwo et al., 2008


17

Risk of Sensitization (BeS) Among Aluminum Smelter Workers

Company	Number of Smelters	At-risk Workers	Workers Tested	BeS	% BeS
A	4	1278	734	4	0.5
B	3	423	328	0	0
C	1	1100	508	4	0.8
D	1	384	362	1	0.3
Total	9	3185	1932	9	0.5

Taiwo et al., 2010

18



CAVEATS

- Be sensitization appears to occur through cut or abraded skin exposure as well as inhalation.
- Frequent and repeated exposures, even of soluble Be salts, may initiate sensitization.
- Skin exposures offer the ability for frequent exposures if not recognized and addressed.
- A directed study of beryllium salt exposure in aluminum potrooms measuring routes of exposure and body burden does not seem to be unwarranted.

19

CONCLUSIONS

- Beryllium salts (in particular - fluorides) have high solubility making them candidates for low potential toxicity if straightforward safeguards are followed.
- Directed studies may be possible in the aluminum industry spurred by a vested interest in less expensive electrical power production resulting from the use of beryllium salts.
- Much of the information to guide this work is already in the published literature and startup of the research should proceed swiftly including fostering renewed awareness of the known caveats.

REFERENCES

- Cummings, K. J., Stefaniak, A. B., Virji, M. A., & Kreiss, K. (2009). A reconsideration of acute beryllium disease. *Environmental health perspectives*, 117(8), 1250-1256.
- Deubner, D., Kelsh, M., Shum, M., Maier, L., Kent, M., & Lau, E. (2001). Beryllium sensitization, chronic beryllium disease, and exposures at a beryllium mining and extraction facility. *Applied Occupational and Environmental Hygiene*, 16(5), 579-592.
- Finch, G. L., Mewhinney, J. A., Eidson, A. F., Hoover, M. D., & Rothenberg, S. J. (1988). In vitro dissolution characteristics of beryllium oxide and beryllium metal aerosols. *Journal of aerosol science*, 19(3), 333-342.
- Islam, M. R., Sanderson, P., Payne, T. E., Johansen, M. P., & Naidu, R. (2021). Desorption and Migration Behavior of Beryllium from Contaminated Soils: Insights for Risk-Based Management. *ACS omega*, 6(45), 30686-30697.
- Lindsay, S. J., & Dobbs, C. L. (2016). Beryllium in pot room bath. In *Essential Readings in Light Metals* (pp. 596-601). Springer, Cham.
- Michaels, D., & Monforton, C. (2008). Beryllium's public relations problem: protecting workers when there is no safe exposure level. *Public Health Reports*, 123(1), 79-88.
- Taiwo, O. A., Slade, M. D., Cantley, L. F., Fiellin, M. G., Wesdock, J. C., Bayer, F. J., & Cullen, M. R. (2008). Beryllium sensitization in aluminum smelter workers. *Journal of occupational and environmental medicine*, 157-162.
- Taiwo, O. A., Slade, M. D., Cantley, L. F., Kirsche, S. R., Wesdock, J. C., & Cullen, M. R. (2010). Prevalence of beryllium sensitization among aluminium smelter workers. *Occupational medicine*, 60(7), 569-571.

21

THANK YOU

mamccawley@hsc.wvu.edu

22

Why Standardise Training in the Beryllium Industry

B. Walker (Safer Environment Ltd., UK)

Why Standardise Training in the Beryllium Industry: The Argument for a Global Training Standard

Beth Walker

Safer Environment Ltd., Burtle, Somerset, United Kingdom

The Beryllium industry seems to have a lack of uniform knowledge on the dangers and safety measures surrounding beryllium.

Beryllium knowledge is updated regularly as we complete more research. Due to the lack of widespread use of the product, organisations do not have a standard training to fall back on, and there seems to be no best practice update database or comprehensive facility design that can be adopted, updated, or delivered. There is widespread use of beryllium in the alloy forms.

Part of the issue around training may be a lack of understanding or awareness of regulators to establish better requirements (like is the case with lead and asbestos). Examples of similar risks that have ratified training in the UK are lead and asbestos. Most countries have a standard for the training delivered in both of these.

One organization, Cambridge Technology Ltd., produces several different types of training even within its own organization. These differ between exposure levels, but there is a standard convention for the training design and certain 'base level' knowledge is given to all employees and visitors.

The difference of knowledge levels between experts and shop-floor staff is noticeable, and it is important to ensure that the people who are using beryllium-containing materials to make product on a daily basis are given the most up-to-date information. The easiest way to do this is have a standardized, accredited training program.

Corresponding Author:

Ms. Beth Walker

rbwalker39@gmail.com

Safer Environment Ltd.

Robins Cottage

Robins Lane

Burtle, Somerset TA7 8NT

UNITED KINGDOM

Why Standardise Training in the Beryllium Industry

The argument for a global training standard

Beth Walker

Safer Environment Ltd.

Presented at the 9th BeYOND Industrial Forum

16 September 2022

Risk
Perception
may not be
working to
safety's
advantage

A lot of effort has been made to discover the effect of perception of risk, as this affects the behavior of people and how they protect themselves.


When people feel at risk, the natural behavior is to protect themselves, how they view the risk is dependent on the level of understanding, the communal and peer perception (Wang et al., 2018).

What makes people feel at risk is often driven by environmental and social factors.

If their peers are all telling a person to fear something, they may develop a fear or if they have heard that there is little or no risk they will not. Bayne et al. infers that Conceptualisation of risk is a complex cognitive process. (Bayne et al., 2019)

This means that standardized information is key to ensuring the correct risk perception is held by all those exposed to Beryllium.

Worldwide production of Beryllium



Beryllium production is on the increase again and with a similar latency to Asbestos, Is it worth waiting to find out if unregulated training is effective?

3

Asbestos: A comparison





Figure 1 - Mesothelioma, asbestosis, and pleural thickening: time trends in annual deaths and Industrial Injuries Benefit Disablement (IIBD) cases*

These graphs show a 20-40 year latency between the height in production of Asbestos and illness peaks. This number continues to rise due to the lack of awareness when Asbestos was in situ and/or being removed, taking into account latency.



4

The risk of not training at all



Most journal articles agree that there is often a difference between a quantifiable risk and the perception of the risk.

We know something is dangerous, but without direct evidence we often assume the exact level of danger.

This usually errs more to side of a situation being less dangerous than it actually is if the situation is often encountered and more dangerous when encountered less often (Yoshidaa, 2019)

5

Impact of basic training study around Beryllium risk



6

Research into training standards

- I reviewed 8 sets of training in my research
- All of which were broadly very similar.
- There were some striking differences and even some contradictions between training materials.
- No one is moderating training content.
- If there was an agreed training subcommittee consisting of industry experts and medical experts, these differences would be resolved prior to publishing.

7

Why create a training program

As the advances in knowledge around Beryllium risk become more frequent and widely recognized, we are in danger of leaving our workforce behind.

An authorized training program would assist organisations in keeping up to date on ensuring their workers have access to the best practice and are aware of the risks around Beryllium.

Current Beryllium knowledge is difficult to obtain and there are still many experts who hold outdated beliefs, particularly around BeLPT. A training program would allow an easily accessible conduit for the most up to date common knowledge to be distributed.

8

Beryllium Sensitization Testing—Where We Are and Where We Need to Go

K. Creek (Beryllium Solutions International, Portugal)

Beryllium Sensitization Testing—Where We Are and Where We Need to Go

Kathryn Creek

Beryllium Solutions International LLC, Lourinha, Portugal

As we learned in the early days of the Covid pandemic, the number of Covid cases appeared to be initially small, but this was only because widespread testing was not readily available. Similarly, in the EU and the UK, very limited testing for Chronic Beryllium Disease (CBD) is performed resulting in very few reported cases. France has conducted worker exposure studies showing high airborne concentrations of beryllium, yet there are no documented CBD cases because testing is not available.

From the U.S. Department of Energy's (DOE) experience, the Beryllium Lymphocyte Proliferation Test (BeLPT) is required for Beryllium Sensitization (BeS) and CBD to be diagnosed. The DOE discovered that CBD can be easily misdiagnosed, resulting in prolonged worker exposure and subsequent poorer health outcomes. Based on their worker population disease outcomes, DOE promulgated an expanded occupational health standard, "Chronic Beryllium Disease Prevention Program" which requires the use of annual BeLPT testing on all current workers potentially exposed to beryllium.

In the late 1990s, DOE addressed their problems with beryllium exposure and CBD, and the U.S. Department of Labor/Occupational Safety and Health Administration also passed expanded beryllium standards in general industry, construction and maritime in the 2017. Currently, the two biological laboratories in the U.S., National Jewish Health and Oak Ridge Associated Universities, conduct approximately 18,000 BeLPT tests per year. Further, the American Thoracic Society has stated, "the reduction of exposure alone is probably insufficient to prevent all cases of BeS and CBD, thereby providing an additional need for medical surveillance. The BeLPT is the cornerstone for both medical surveillance and the diagnosis of BeS and CBD."

In stark contrast, the EU and UK have avoided their responsibility for over 30 years. They have not developed occupational beryllium expanded standards, nor conducted studies on their worker populations using the BeLPT. They hide behind statements that the BeLPT is an unreliable test, that there are limited worker exposures to beryllium, and that they don't have any cases of CBD. They say, "we don't have a problem". How is it the EU and UK don't have a problem when the US does?

Clearly, the respective country's health organizations inside the EU and UK have no data to support their conclusions, given that they have not conducted any worker studies that use the BeLPT. The facts are that there are some countries that do not have biological laboratories (e.g., France, Turkey) that can conduct the BeLPT. For the countries that do have a lab that can conduct the BeLPT, they do not have the capability to conduct the test for even small worker populations like a facility that has less than 100 workers (e.g., UK, Germany, Spain, Italy).

Currently, there are no occupational health standards within the EU or UK that require using the BeLPT for worker testing. The concern remains unaddressed. A discussion on the situation in the EU and UK will be given with potential steps to address this concern that regulatory and health research organizations can take.

Corresponding Author:

Ms. Kathryn Creek, CIH, MS
creek@beryllium-solutions.com
Beryllium Solutions International LLC
Estrada dos Cassaqueiros, 14b
Santa Barbara
2530-732 Lourinha
PORTUGAL



Beryllium Sensitization Testing

Where we are and where we need to go



Kathryn Creek, CIH, MS
Senior Consultant
Beryllium Solutions International, LLC

BeLPT © 2022 Beryllium Solutions International

Page 1




“Absence of Evidence IS NOT Evidence of Absence.”

David Michaels, Epidemiologist and Public Health
Professor, George Washington University

“Beryllium's Public Relations Problem: Protecting Workers When
There is No Safety Exposure Level,” *Public Health Reports*, vol. 123,
no. 1, pp. 79-88, 2008.

BeLPT © 2022 Beryllium Solutions International

Page 2



► DOE Study Data in 1998

The problem was discovered.
The extent of the problem was yet to be discovered.

Facility	LPT Positive	Confirmed CBD*
RFETS	180	73
Y-12	70	24


* DOE statistics include “CBD probable” and gold standard CBD cases

bsi

BeLPT © 2022 Beryllium Solutions International

Page 4

Current Worker Cases in 2005




bsi

BeLPT © 2022 Beryllium Solutions International

Page 5

Former Workers Cases in 2005



bsi

BeLPT © 2022 Beryllium Solutions International

Page 6

▶ Initial DOE take home messages

- ▶ The BeLPT must be used to identify BeS and CBD.
- ▶ Standard IH programs are not effective.
- ▶ The past exposure limit of 2 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ was not protective.

bsi

BeLPT © 2022 Beryllium Solutions International

Page 7

bsi

**Prior to NIOSH studies,
BeS and CBD rates at the facilities were
unknown.**

**Manufacturers routinely stated that
exposure from CuBe machining operations
was not a risk because exposure levels
were so low.**

BeLPT © 2022 Beryllium Solutions International

McCawley Page 8

NIOSH Be Metal/Alloy/Oxide Processing Study

	Beryllium Particles / cc	Average Be Mass $\mu\text{g} / \text{m}^3$	CBD Risk
Fluoride Furnace	20,500	0.02	HIGH
Oxide Furnace	18,500	0.25	
Casting Operations	5,500	0.07	
Reduction Furnace	4,800	0.06	MEDIUM
Solution Preparation	2,200	0.06	
Bulk Pickle	150	0.08	LOW
Ball Mill	100	0.07	
Light Gauge Foil Room	70	0.004	
Beryl ore mine	9	0.24	
Ore crusher	5	0.08	
4-HI Mill	3	0.004	
Administration and shipping	1	0.004	

M.M.Cawley, "Ultrafine "Beryllium Number Concentration as a Possible Metric for Chronic Beryllium Disease Risk", App. Occ. & Env. Hygiene, 2001

bsi

BeLPT © 2022 Beryllium Solutions International

Page 9

CuBe Study CBD Rate vs Exposure Levels

Job Title	Sensitized (%)	CBD (%)	Median Exposure ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	95th Percentile ¹ (UTL) ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
Point and Chamfer	21	21	0.03	0.2
Wire annealing/pickling	13	10	0.12	2.32
Wire drawing	14	10	0.06	0.32
Rod and wire packing	10	9	0.03	0.11
Straightening	8	8	0.03	0.17
Strip Annealing	11	8	0.02	0.21
Strip rolling	11	7	0.02	0.09
Administration (plant)	10	7	0.02	0.05
Slitting	8	6	0.02	0.16
Strip pickling	7	6	0.03	0.14
Inspection	6	6	0.02	0.05
Maintenance mechanics	6	6	0.02	0.07
Metallurgy lab	4	4	0.06	----
Shipping Receiving	4	2	0.02	0.04
Die grinding	0	0	0.02	----
Wastewater treatment	0	0	0.11	----
Administration (office)	0	0	0.01	0.09

C. Schuler, et. al., "Process-related risk of beryllium sensitization and disease in a copper-beryllium alloy facility", Am. J. Ind Med, 2005, 47:195-205

bsi

BeLPT © 2022 Beryllium Solutions International

Page 10

► Take home messages from NIOSH studies

- Skin exposure is the primary exposure route for establishing the initial sensitization response.
- Average mass-based airborne beryllium particulate exposures that were well below the now current exposure limit of $0.2 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ resulted in sensitization and disease including copper beryllium machining operations.
- Particle-based metric may be a better indicator of risk.
- Reaffirmed that the use of the BeLPT is necessary for medical surveillance. If the BeLPT is not used, sensitization and CBD will most likely not be identified.

bsi

BeLPT © 2022 Beryllium Solutions International

Page 11

► Key Elements of a Comprehensive Program Start with Expanded Standards

US DOL/OSHA

- (a) Scope and Application
- (b) Definitions
- (c) Permissible Exposure Limits (PELs)
- (d) Exposure Assessment
- (e) Beryllium Work Areas and Regulated Areas (General Industry); Regulated Areas (Maritime); and Competent Person (Construction)
- (f) Methods of Compliance
- (g) Respiratory Protection
- (h) Personal Protective Clothing and Equipment
- (i) Hygiene Areas and Practices
- (j) Housekeeping
- (k) Medical Surveillance
- (l) Medical Removal
- (m) Communication of Hazards
- (n) Recordkeeping

US DOE

Subpart A—General Provisions

- 850.1 Scope.
- 850.2 Applicability.
- 850.3 Definitions.
- 850.4 Enforcement.
- 850.5 Dispute resolution.

Subpart B—Administrative Requirements

- 850.10 Development and approval of the CBDPP.
- 850.11 General CBDPP requirements.
- 850.12 Implementation.
- 850.13 Compliance.

Subpart C—Specific Program Requirements

- 850.20 Baseline beryllium inventory.
- 850.21 Hazard assessment.
- 850.22 Permissible exposure limit.
- 850.23 Action level.
- 850.24 Exposure monitoring.
- 850.25 Exposure reduction and minimization.
- 850.26 Regulated areas.
- 850.27 Hygiene facilities and practices.
- 850.28 Respiratory protection.
- 850.29 Protective clothing and equipment.
- 850.30 Housekeeping.
- 850.31 Release criteria.
- 850.32 Waste disposal.
- 850.33 Beryllium emergencies.
- 850.34 Medical surveillance.
- 850.35 Medical removal.
- 850.36 Medical consent.
- 850.37 Training and counseling.
- 850.38 Warning signs and labels.
- 850.39 Recordkeeping and use of information.
- 850.40 Performance feedback.

US regulations
require
use of BeLPT

bsi

BeLPT © 2022 Beryllium Solutions International

Page 12

▶ European Commission Directive on Carcinogens and Mutagens

- ▶ June 2019 directive established the OEL of $0.6 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ inhalable fraction 8-hour TWA for 5 years then lowering to $0.2 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$.
- ▶ Skin notation “The substance can cause sensitization of the skin and the respiratory tract.”

20.6.2019 L EN Official Journal of the European Union L 164/23

DIRECTIVES

DIRECTIVE (EU) 2019/983 OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL
of 5 June 2019
amending Directive 2004/37/EC on the protection of workers from the risks related to exposure to
carcinogens or mutagens at work

**EU directive does not require a program
and does not mention BeLPT**

bsi

BeLPT © 2022 Beryllium Solutions International

Page 13

▶ Identifying CBD in Early Stages

Routine diagnostic tests

such as low dose computed tomography
scan and pulmonary function tests

will NOT detect CBD

except for very advanced cases.

bsi

BeLPT © 2022 Beryllium Solutions International

Page 14

BeLPT Approved by the American Thoracic Society

“...the reduction of exposure alone is probably insufficient to prevent all cases of BeS and CBD, thereby providing an additional need for medical surveillance”

“ The **BeLPT is the cornerstone** for both medical surveillance and the diagnosis of BeS and CBD.”

An Official American Thoracic Society Statement: Diagnosis and Management of Beryllium Sensitivity and Chronic Beryllium Disease

John R. Balmes, Jerrold L. Abraham, Raed A. Dweik, Elizabeth Fireman, Andrew P. Fontenot, Lisa A. Maier, Joachim Muller-Quernheim, Gaston Ostiguy, Lewis D. Pepper, Cesare Saltini, Christine R. Schuler, Tim K. Takaro, and Paul F. Wambach; on behalf of the ATS Ad Hoc Committee on Beryllium Sensitivity and Chronic Beryllium Disease

THIS OFFICIAL STATEMENT OF THE AMERICAN THORACIC SOCIETY (ATS) WAS APPROVED BY THE ATS BOARD OF DIRECTORS, JUNE 2014

Comparison of BeLPT to Standard Medical Screening Tests

Disease	Test	Sensitivity	Specificity	Follow-up test
Breast Cancer	Mammography	75-88%	83-99%	Biopsy
Colon Cancer	Fecal Occult Blood	26-92%	90-99%	Colonoscopy
Neural Tube Defects	AFP	56-91%	5-10%	Amniocentesis
Prostate Cancer	PSA	29-80%	75%	Biopsy
BeS/CBD	BeLPT	69-91%	96-91%	Bronchoalveolar lavage/biopsy

▶ BeLPT in the US

- ▶ Oak Ridge Associated University (DOE workers) can conduct 10,000 to 12,000 BeLPT tests annually
- ▶ National Jewish Health (private sector) can conduct 8,000 BeLPT tests annually

US labs can conduct up to
20,000
BeLPT tests a year

▶ Biomarker limitations


- ▶ Beryllium Urinalysis—a dose metric
- ▶ Exhaled Breath
 - ▶ Beryllium—a dose metric
 - ▶ TNF α —a nonspecific indicator of inflammation

The biomarker limits of concern for beryllium are not well established. **They are NOT medical surveillance screening tests**

A high level of beryllium in urine would not result in a worker being referred for a medical evaluation that included a bronchoalveolar lavage or lung biopsy.

► Workplace Studies in France by INRS

~60% of exposures were above $0.2 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$



bsi

BeLPT © 2022 Beryllium Solutions International

Page 19

► Beryllium and Europe

- It is very likely that France could have many cases of CBD based on exposure studies.
- Europe has conducted small scale workplace studies using the BeLPT on dental technicians (Italy and Israel).
- France does not have BeLPT capabilities.
- UK cannot conduct 80 BeLPT per year.
- Germany conducts small numbers of BeLPT on workers who are very ill but not for routine testing.
- Spain conducts the MELISA test for only a few worksites who are working on Fusion energy projects.

The CBD rate in Europe is unknown as was the condition for the US in the early 1990's.

bsi

BeLPT © 2022 Beryllium Solutions International

Page 20

▶ Let's compare

- ▶ COVID cases were underreported due to limited availability of testing in the early days of the pandemic
- ▶ CBD cases are underreported due to limited availability of testing

How would you like to have a disease
that is not diagnosed?

bsi

BeLPT © 2022 Beryllium Solutions International

Page 21

▶ A recommended path forward

- ▶ Government agencies should
 - ▶ Establish large scale BeLPT testing capabilities
 - ▶ Conduct worker studies using the BeLPT
 - ▶ Develop and pass expanded health standards for beryllium risk which include the requirement for BeLPT testing.

Without data you are blind to the concern.

bsi

BeLPT © 2022 Beryllium Solutions International

Page 22



**Thank you for your
attention**

Kathryn Creek, CIH, MS
Senior Consultant
Beryllium Solutions International

creek@beryllium-solutions.com
www.Beryllium-Solutions.com

BeLPT © 2022 Beryllium Solutions International

Page 23

Poster Session: Principles of Facility Design

Beryllium Facility Design: A Step Above Standard Industrial Controls

K. Creek (Beryllium Solutions International, Portugal)

Beryllium Facility Design: A Step Above Standard Industrial Controls

Kathryn Creek

Beryllium Solutions International LLC, Lourinha, Portugal

One of the key principles in any beryllium management system or worker protection program is the idea of preventing migration and keeping beryllium particulate at its source. This poster presentation describes:

- Beryllium controls are a step above the norm
- Basic logic for facility design
- Zone pressure controls
- Basic facility layout
- Need for a robust exhaust system
- Design features

Corresponding Author:

Ms. Kathryn Creek, CIH, MS
creek@beryllium-solutions.com
Beryllium Solutions International LLC
Estrada dos Cassaqueiros, 14b
Santa Barbara
2530-732 Lourinha
PORTUGAL

bsi

Beryllium Facility Design

A step above standard industrial controls

The diagram illustrates a complex ventilation system for a beryllium facility. It shows a network of ducts and fans. Key components include: Supply Fans (90% Efficient @ 0.3 μm), Exhaust Fans, Exhaust Stack, High Suction Blower, Pre Filters 95% Efficient @ 0.3 μm, Glovebox Exhaust, Enclosure Hood Exhaust, and Workstation. The system is designed to capture and exhaust beryllium dust from various work areas, including a Glovebox, Enclosure Hood, and Workstation, ensuring a clean environment for the facility.

Kathryn Creek, CIH, MS
Senior Consultant
Beryllium Solutions International

Beryllium Facility Design © Beryllium Solutions International 2022

Page 1

► Beryllium controls are a step above

- Standard industrial controls are insufficient for controlling the risk of beryllium exposure to energetic operations.
- Clean room type technology with high quality components is a good approach.

▶ Basic Logic for Facility Design


- ▶ Hospital Operating Room Level of Cleanliness
 - ▶ Class 10,000 clean room
 - ▶ Once-through filtered supply air
 - ▶ 10-20 air changes per hour
- ▶ Clean-room design considerations such as recessed lighting



Beryllium Facility Design © Beryllium Solutions International 2022

Page 3

▶ Settling Time of Particulate by Size




Beryllium Facility Design © Beryllium Solutions International 2022


Page 4

▶ Zone Pressure Control

- ▶ Relatively leak tight building
- ▶ Air leak paths into beryllium areas should be eliminated to be able to maintain pressure cascades.
 - ▶ ~ -20 to 40 Pa per zone
- ▶ Seal all electrical system conduits (control system wiring, fire protection, communications wiring) that penetrate the beryllium areas.

▶ Basic Facility Layout





Enclosure exhaust

- Provides for uniform velocity through door opening
- Uses existing access panel location

Source Capture

Process exhaust

- Flanged connections
- Long radius elbows
- 45° branch inlets
- Full port shut-off valves
- Flex hose: heavy duty see-thru smooth inner wall

Beryllium Facility Design © Beryllium Solutions International 2022

Page 8

► Design Features


Limit
Personnel



Quality and
Durable
Equipment



Laminar Flow



Good mixing



Smooth
Surfaces

Beryllium Facility Design © Beryllium Solutions International 2022

Page 9

bsi

Questions?

Kathryn Creek, CIH, MS
Senior Consultant
Beryllium Solutions International

creek@beryllium-solutions.com
www.Beryllium-Solutions.com

Beryllium Facility Design © Beryllium Solutions International 2022

Page 10

Appendices

History of the BeYOND Workshop Series

History of the BeYOND Workshop Series [1]

In the technical field of beryllium, there are currently two workshops that take place in alternating years, the IEA International Workshop on Beryllium Technology (BeWS) in the odd-numbered years and the BeYOND Industrial Forum in the even-numbered ones. The name BeYOND is an acronym which stands for “Beryllium Opportunities and New Developments”.

After trying various timing with respect to other conferences, it evolved that the BeYOND workshops would take place on alternate years from the BeWS, and normally held in conjunction with the SOFT Conference (Symposium on Fusion Technology), which is always held in Europe. Meetings to date:

- BeYOND-1: Karlsruhe, Germany, 2009
- BeYOND-2: Porto, Portugal, 2010
- BeYOND-3: Karlsruhe, Germany, 2011
- BeYOND-4: Karlsruhe, Germany, 2012
- BeYOND-5: Barcelona, Spain, 2013
- BeYOND-6: San Sebastian, Spain, 2014
- BeYOND-7: Berlin, Germany, 2016
- BeYOND-8: Karlsruhe, Germany, 2018
- BeYOND-9: Karlsruhe, Germany, 2022

BeYOND was created with the idea of more emphasis on strengthening the connection between the beryllium research community and the beryllium industry, an aspect not always satisfied by the BeWS. Since BeYOND-5 in 2013, the workshop has generally also placed more emphasis on beryllium health and safety than typically found in the BeWS.

Reference:

[1] Goraieb, A. and Dorn, C. “Overview of the BeYOND Workshop Series”. *Proceedings of the 14th International Workshop on Beryllium Technology (BeWS-14)*, held in Long Beach, California, 2019, pp. 441-443. Lemmens Medien GmbH, 2021.

BeYOND-IX Participants List

Family Name	First Name	Organization	Country	Status
Ashley	Kevin	Ashley Analytical Associates LLC	USA	In person
Chakin	Vladimir	KIT	Germany	In person
Cilingir	Engin	GVT – Goraiebversuchstechnik GmbH	Germany	In person
Creek	Kathryn	Beryllium Solutions International LLC	Portugal	In person
Dorn	Christopher	UK Atomic Energy Authority Be4FUSION LLC	UK USA	In person
Frants	Yevgeniy	Ulba Metallurgical Plant	Kazakhstan	In person
Frehn	Andreas	Materion Brush Germany GmbH	Germany	In person
Fretz	Benjamin	GVT – Goraiebversuchstechnik GmbH	Germany	In person
Freund	Heike	Marvel Fusion GmbH	Germany	In person
Gaisin	Ramil	KIT	Germany	In person
Goraieb	Aniceto	KBHF	Germany	In person
Gorr	Bronislava	KIT	Germany	In person
Hesch	Klaus	KIT	Germany	In person
Huang	Haibo	General Atomics Corp.	USA	In person
Ionescu-Bujor	Mihaela	KIT	Germany	In person
Jamieson	Valerie	UK Atomic Energy Authority	UK	Online
Jilek	Richard	CVR – Research Centre Rez	Czech Republic	In person
Kearney	Maddy	UK Atomic Energy Authority	UK	In person
Kenzhina	Inesh	Al-Farabi Kazakh National University	Kazakhstan	In person
Kim	Jae-Hwan	QST	Japan	In person
Kizane	Gunta	University of Latvia	Latvia	In person
Klimenkov	Michael	KIT	Germany	In person
Knudson	Theodore	Materion Brush Inc.	USA	In person
Kovalskiy	Sergey	KIT	Germany	In person
Kuksenko	Viacheslav	UK Atomic Energy Authority	UK	In person
Lemmens	Markus	Lemmens Medien GmbH	Germany	In person
Maehlmann	Peter	TROPAG GmbH	Germany	In person
Maier	Lisa	National Jewish Health	USA	Online
McCawley	Michael	West Virginia University	USA	Online
McKeon	Eilish	UK Atomic Energy Authority	UK	In person
Moeslang	Anton	KIT (Retired)	Germany	In person
Nebe	Dan	Marvel Fusion GmbH	Germany	In person
Pearson	Richard	Kyoto Fusioneering Ltd.	UK Japan	In person
Radloff	Dirk	KIT	Germany	In person
Reimann	Joerg	KIT (Retired)	Germany	In person
Renier	Angelique	NGK Berylco	France	In person

<u>Family Name</u>	<u>First Name</u>	<u>Organization</u>	<u>Country</u>	<u>Status</u>
Roth-Goraieb	Doris	GVT – Goraiebversuchstechnik GmbH	Germany	In person
Rubel	Marek	KTH - Royal Institute of Technology	Sweden	In person
Ruskayova	Petra	ELI Beamlines	Czech Republic	In person
Scherer	Theo	KIT	Germany	In person
Sioui	Daniel	General Atomics Corp.	USA	In person
Smith	Keith	Materion Brush Inc.	USA	In person
Spaeh	Peter	KIT	Germany	In person
Toupal	Lukas	CVR – Research Centre Rez	Czech Republic	In person
Udartsev	Sergey	Ulba Metallurgical Plant	Kazakhstan	In person
Vandermark	Lee	General Dynamics	USA	In person
Verdon	Jon	UK Atomic Energy Authority	UK	In person
Vitins	Aigars	University of Latvia	Latvia	In person
Vladimirov	Pavel	KIT	Germany	In person
Walker	Beth	Safer Environment Ltd.	UK	Online
Whalen	Lance	General Dynamics	USA	In person
Zenkov	Konstantin	Ulba Metallurgical Plant	Kazakhstan	In person
Zhou	Guangming	KIT	Germany	In person

Workshop & Venue Photos

This year's BeYOND Workshop was held as a joint event together with the Beryllium Workshop (BeWS-15), which preceded it. On the evening of 15 September, after the two days of BeWS-15 sessions, there was a joint workshop social event, which included a tour of a local brewery followed by a beer tasting and buffet dinner. There was also a short awards ceremony held just after the dinner.



Left: The special logo created for the joint event: BeWS-15 and BeYOND-IX. The timing of the Workshop Dinner ended up making it the first real activity for BeYOND-IX. Right: View of the historic Hoepfner Brewery buildings taken during the tour (Photo Credit: E. McKeon of UKAEA).



Prior to the start of the Workshop Dinner, participants had the option to join a tour of the historic brewery followed by a beer tasting of the Hoepfner products. Above are images from the tour showing some of the original old equipment on the left, and beer in the brewing process on the right (Photo Credits: E. McKeon of UKAEA).



Left: Workshop participants gather in the dinner venue at the brewery after the conclusion of the tour. Right: The beer tasting took place at the same tables where the dinner would later be served.

As dinner was drawing to a close, there was a short awards ceremony at which recipients from both the Beryllium Workshop and BeYOND were recognized. The award for the BeWS had been established several years ago, but this year marked the first time for a new, regular recognition to be given at BeYOND, which is now the Glen Longhurst Memorial Award, named in honor of Dr. Glen Longhurst, one of the founders of the Beryllium Workshop series and a long-time supporter of BeYOND and beryllium-related research for the development of fusion energy.



Left: The awards ceremony led by BeYOND-IX Chair Aniceto Goraieb and BeWS-15 Chair Pavel Vladimirov of KIT. Right: BeYOND-IX Chair Aniceto Goraieb on the left and Chris Dorn of UKAEA and Be4FUSION on the right. Dorn was the recipient of the first Glen Longhurst Memorial Award for achievement in beryllium research for fusion over a 35-year career in the field.

The following morning began with a tour of the Karlsruhe Beryllium Handling Facility (KBHF), which is located on the KIT North Campus, not far from where the BeYOND-IX presentation sessions would be taking place.



Left: Participants gather for the KBHF laboratory tour, which was a chance to see all the facilities where research work is ongoing for beryllium neutron-multiplier materials and lithium-ceramic breeder material. Right: Aniceto Goraieb, founder and Managing Director of KBHF explains some of the lab operations to the participants (Photo Credits: K. Creek of Beryllium Solutions International).

After the KBHF tour, the BeYOND-IX workshop presentation sessions were held in the Aula/ Seminar Room at KIT's FTU (Fortbildungszentrum für Technik und Umwelt in German, Training Center for Technology and Environment in English) facility, which is located just outside the gate to the KIT North Campus, the main entrance to which is shown in the photo below.



Exterior view of the entrance to the FTU where BeYOND-IX was held. Photo Credit and Image Copyright to the Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), URL: <https://www.fortbildung.kit.edu/>.

This year's BeYOND was set up as a hybrid workshop, with both in-person and online attendees and presenters. This format ensured the fullest range of available material on trending subjects in fusion.



Left: Dr. Markus Lemmens of Lemmens Media and a KBHF shareholder, presented jointly with Aniceto Goraieb of KBHF to explain the goals of their program "Fusion for Future." Right: Dr. Kevin Ashley of Ashley Analytical Associates LLC (Arizona, USA) presented on analytical methods using fluorescence to resolve beryllium samples in the laboratory.



Dr. Lisa Maier of National Jewish Health (Colorado, USA) is shown here online, displayed on the big screen to introduce her talk on genetic research related to beryllium health effects to the in-person participants at BeYOND-IX.